## OCC BANK DERIVATIVES REPORT SECOND QUARTER 1998

## GENERAL

The notional amount of derivatives in insured commercial bank portfolios increased by $\$ 2.1$ trillion (over eight percent) in the second quarter, to $\$ 28.2$ trillion. During the second quarter, the notional amount of interest rate contracts rose by $\$ 1.7$ trillion, to $\$ 20$ trillion. Foreign exchange contracts increased by $\$ 321$ billion, to $\$ 7.4$ trillion. This figure excludes spot foreign exchange contracts, which decreased by $\$ 16$ billion to $\$ 662$ billion. Equity, commodity and other contracts rose by $\$ 76$ billion, to $\$ 605$ billion. Credit derivatives increased 42 percent, to $\$ 129$ billion. The number of commercial banks holding derivatives increased by 9 , to 461 .
[See Tables 1, 2, and 3, Graphs 1 and 3.]
The OCC quarterly report on bank derivatives activities and trading revenues is based on quarterly call report information provided by U.S. commercial banks.

Over 71 percent of the notional amount of derivative positions was comprised of interest rate contracts with an additional 26 percent represented by foreign exchange contracts. Equity, commodity and other contracts accounted for only 2 percent of the total notional amount. [See Table 3 and Graph 3.]

Holdings of off-balance sheet derivatives continue to be concentrated in the largest banks. Eight commercial banks account for 95 percent of the total notional amount of derivatives in the banking system, with approximately 99 percent held by the top 25 banks. [See Tables 3,5 and Graph 4.]

Over-the-counter (OTC) and exchange-traded contracts comprised 85 percent and 15 percent, respectively, of the notional holdings as of second quarter of 1998, which has remained virtually the same since the second quarter of 1996. [See Table 3.] OTC contracts tend to be more popular with banks and bank customers because they can be tailored to meet firm-specific risk management needs. However, OTC contracts expose participants to greater credit risks and tend to be less liquid than exchange-traded contracts, which are standardized and fungible.

The notional amounts of short-term contracts (i.e., with remaining maturities of less than one year) increased by $\$ 524$ billion from the first quarter of 1998 , to $\$ 11.3$ trillion. Contracts with remaining maturities of one to five years rose by $\$ 374$ billion, to $\$ 6.2$ trillion, and long-term (i.e.,
with maturities of five or more years) contracts increased by $\$ 260$ billion, to $\$ 2.6$ trillion. [See Tables 10, 11 and 12, Graphs 7, 8 and 9.]

## RISK

Notional amounts are helpful in measuring the level and trends of derivatives activity. However, these amounts may be a misleading indicator of risk exposure. Data such as fair values and credit risk exposures are more useful for analyzing point-in-time risk exposure, while data such as trading revenues and contractual maturities provide more meaningful information on trends in risk exposure.

Credit exposures are reflected in Table 4. However, Table 4 does not reflect the full effects of bilateral netting on potential future credit exposures (i.e., the add-on component). Under the current risk-based capital guidelines, banks have the option of either calculating their netted potential future credit exposure on a counterparty basis or approximating their netted potential future credit exposure on an aggregate basis. The method chosen must be used consistently and is subject to examiner review.

There was a $\$ 6$ billion decrease in the second quarter in total credit exposure from off-balance sheet contracts to $\$ 342$ billion. Relative to risk-based capital, total credit exposures for the top eight banks decreased to 243 percent of aggregated risk based capital in the second quarter of 1998 from 260 percent in the first quarter of 1998. The decrease in the dollar amount of total credit exposure appears to be largely due to the increasing benefit from netting contracts. In general, credit exposure would have been significantly higher without the benefit of bilateral netting agreements. The extent of the benefit can be seen by comparing gross positive fair values from Table 6 to the bilaterally-netted current exposures shown on Table 4. [See Tables 4 and 6, Graphs 5a and 5b.]

Past due derivative contracts remained at nominal levels. For all banks, the book value of contracts past due 30 days or more aggregated to only $\$ 31.5$ million, or .009 percent of total credit exposure from derivatives contracts. A more complete assessment of the magnitude of troubled derivative exposures would include non-performing contracts as well as past due contracts. Call Report instructions, however, currently do not require banks to report totals for non-performing derivative contracts. Therefore, use of past-due information alone does not provide a complete picture of the extent of troubled derivative exposures. During the second quarter of 1998 banks charged off $\$ 94$ million due to credit losses from off-balance sheet derivatives, or .03 percent of total credit exposure. For comparison purposes, net loan chargeoffs relative to total loans for the quarter were .16 percent. Banks' relatively small loss figures reflect both the current healthy economic environment and the generally high credit quality of counterparties and end-users with whom banks presently engage in derivatives transactions, as well as the increased use of collateral. [See Graph 5c.]

The Call Report data reflect the significant differences in business strategies among the banks. The preponderance of trading activities, including both customer transactions and proprietary positions, is confined to the very largest banks. The banks with the 25 largest derivatives portfolios hold almost 96 percent of the contracts for trading purposes, primarily customer service transactions, while the remaining 4 percent are held for their own risk management needs. The trading contracts of these banks represent over 94 percent of all notional values in the commercial banking system. Smaller banks tend to limit their use of derivatives to risk management purposes. [See Table 5.]

The gross positive and gross negative fair values of derivatives portfolios are relatively balanced; that is, the value of positions in which the bank has a gain is not significantly different from the value of those positions with a loss. In fact, for derivative contracts held for trading purposes, the eight largest banks have $\$ 362$ billion in gross positive fair values and $\$ 361$ billion in gross negative fair values. Note that while gross fair value data is more useful than notional amounts in depicting more meaningful market risk exposure, users must be cautioned that these figures do not include the results of cash positions in trading portfolios. Similarly, the data are reported on a legal entity basis and consequently do not reflect the effects of positions in portfolios of affiliates. [See Table 6.]

End-user positions, or derivatives held for risk management purposes, have aggregate gross positive fair values of $\$ 11.3$ billion, while the gross negative fair value of these contracts aggregated to $\$ 6.6$ billion. Readers should recognize that these figures are only useful in the context of a more complete analysis of each bank's asset/liability structure and risk management process. For example, these figures do not reflect the impact of off-setting positions on the balance sheet. [See Table 6.]

The notional amount of credit derivatives reported by insured commercial banks increased by 42 percent from first quarter levels, or $\$ 38$ billion, and now total over $\$ 129$ billion. Notional amounts for the fifteen commercially insured institutions that sold credit protection (i.e., assumed credit risk) to other parties was $\$ 58.9$ billion, an increase of $\$ 12.5$ billion from first quarter levels. The notional amount for the thirteen commercial banks reporting credit derivatives that bought credit protection (i.e., hedged credit risk) from other parties was $\$ 70.2$ billion, a $\$ 25$ billion increase from the first quarter. [See Tables 1, 3 and Graphs 2, 3 and 4.]

## REVENUES

The Call Report data include revenue information regarding trading activities involving cash instruments and off-balance sheet derivative instruments. The data also show the impact on net interest income and non-interest income from derivatives used in non-trading activities. Note that the revenue data reported in Table 7, Graphs 6 a and 6 b reflect figures for the second quarter alone, and are not annualized.

Relative to the first quarter of 1998, there was a slight decline in trading revenues from cash instruments and derivatives activities of $\$ 147$ million. The revenue figures reported in the second quarter indicate that the banks with derivatives realized over $\$ 2.5$ billion in revenue from trading activities, with the top eight banks accounting for more than 81 percent of this figure. In the second quarter, revenues from interest rate positions decreased by $\$ 137$ million, generating $\$ 930$ million, while revenues from foreign exchange positions increased by $\$ 51$ million, to $\$ 1.4$ billion. Banks also reported trading revenues of $\$ 212$ million from equity, commodity and other (i.e. emerging market debt) trading positions in the second quarter. [See Table 7, Graphs 6a and 6b.]

Derivatives held for purposes other than trading did not have a significant impact on either net interest income or non-interest income in the second quarter. Non-traded derivatives contributed $\$ 71.6$ million, or .08 percent to the gross revenues of banks with derivative contracts in the second quarter. These figures reflect a decrease of $\$ 57$ million from the first quarter. These results are only useful in the context of a more complete analysis of each bank's asset/liability structure and risk management process.

## HIGH-RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES AND STRUCTURED NOTES

The number of banks reporting either structured notes or high-risk mortgage securities remain largely confined to banks with total assets less than $\$ 10$ billion. The number of banks reporting high-risk mortgage securities decreased by 5 to 374 , in the second quarter. The second quarter aggregated numbers indicate that book values exceeded fair values by approximately $\$ 7$ million for high risk mortgage securities, a $\$ 5$ million improvement from first quarter levels. The average book value of holdings for these banks relative to total assets for the second quarter of 1998 increased slightly from first quarter levels, to .6 percent. Average depreciation to risk-based capital remained at .01 percent.

The number of banks reporting structured notes on their books decreased in the second quarter by 258 to 2,173 . Book values exceeded fair values by $\$ 18$ million for structured notes, an $\$ 11$ million dollar improvement from the first quarter. For banks with structured notes, the average book value of holdings relative to total assets remained at .5 percent. The average amount of depreciation to risk-based capital declined slightly to .01 percent. [See Table 8 and Table 9, Graphs 10 and 11.]

## Derivatives, Notionals by Type of User

## Insured Commercial Banks

\$ Trillions


Note: Dotted line indicates that beginning in 1 Q95, spot foreign exchange was not included in the definition of total derivatives.
Note: Categories do not include credit derivatives

## Derivative Contracts by Product

All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Derivative Contracts by Product (\$ Billions)*

|  | $\frac{91 \text { Q4 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{92 \text { Q4 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{93 Q 4}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{94 \mathrm{Q} 4}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{95 \text { Q4 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{96 \text { Q4 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{97 \text { Q1 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{97 \text { Q2 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{97 \text { Q3 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{97 \text { Q4 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{98 \text { Q1 }}{\Phi}$ | $\frac{98 \text { Q2 }}{\Phi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Futures \& Fwrds | 3,876 | 4,780 | 6,229 | 8,109 | 7,399 | 8,041 | 8,866 | 9,165 | 9,466 | 9,550 | 9,379 | 10,003 |
| Swaps | 2,071 | 2,417 | 3,260 | 4,823 | 5,945 | 7,601 | 7,950 | 8,723 | 9,564 | 9,705 | 10,060 | 10,846 |
| Options | 1,393 | 1,568 | 2,384 | 2,841 | 3,516 | 4,393 | 5,052 | 5,411 | 5,961 | 5,754 | 6,518 | 7,197 |
| Credit Derivatives |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 26 | 39 | 55 | 91 | 129 |
| TOTAL |  | 8,76 | 11,873 | 15,74 | 6,86 | 0,035 | 21,887 | 23,325 | 25,02 | 5,064 | 6,0 | ,17 |

[^0]
## Derivatives Contracts by Type

All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Derivative Contracts by Type (\$ Billions)*


TOTAL
7,339 8,764 11,873 15,774 16,861 20,035 21,887 23,325 25,028 25,064 26,049 28,176
*in billions of dollars; notional value of futures, total exchange traded options, total over the counter options, total forwards, and total swaps. Note that data after 1994 do not include spot $\mathbf{f x}$ in the total notional amount of derivatives.

Credit derivatives were reported for the first time in the first quarter of 1997. Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type, which have therefore been added as a separate category. As of the first quarter of 1997, credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives.

Note: numbers may not add due to rounding.
Data Source: Call Reports

Eight Banks With Most Derivatives Dominate
All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Concentration of Derivative Contracts, 98Q2 (\$ Billions)*

|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Top } 8 \text { Bks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Tot } \frac{\%}{\text { Der }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \hline \text { est } 453 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\text { ot } \frac{\%}{D e}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { All } 461 \mathrm{Bk} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{\%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Futures \& Fwrds | 9,478 | 33.6 | 525 | 1.9 | 10,003 | 35.5 |
| Swaps | 10,279 | 36.5 | 568 | 2.0 | 10,847 | 38.5 |
| Options | 6,762 | 23.9 | 435 | 1.5 | 7,197 | 25.5 |
| Credit Derivatives | 127 | 0.5 | 2 | 0 | 129 | 0.5 |
| TOTAL | 26,646 | 94.6 | 1,530 | 5.4 | 28,176 | 100.0 |

## Percentage of Credit Exposure to Risk Based Capital

Top 8 Commercial Banks with Derivatives, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary
$\%$ of RBC


Percentage of Credit Exposure to Risk Based Capital (top banks 98Q2) (\%)*

|  | $\underline{96 Q 1}$ | $\underline{96 Q 2}$ | $\underline{96 Q 3}$ | $\underline{96 Q 4}$ | $\underline{97 Q 1}$ | $\underline{97 Q 2}$ | $\underline{97 Q 3}$ | $\underline{97 Q 4}$ | $\underline{98 Q} 1$ | $\underline{98 Q 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chase Man. Bk (CMB) | 175.8 | 262.5 | 255.9 | 265.8 | 280.6 | 288.3 | 308.2 | 329.5 | 325.7 | 334.3 |
| Chemical (CHL) | 278.7 | 264.8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Morgan Grnty (JPM) | 502.5 | 493.9 | 512.7 | 507.7 | 507.1 | 639.6 | 737.0 | 806.4 | 810.2 | 727.6 |
| Citibank (CCI) | 147.7 | 145.3 | 147.4 | 162.1 | 165.4 | 165.0 | 184.3 | 204.9 | 196.1 | 193.7 |
| NationsBank (NB) | 124.3 | 145.9 | 140.3 | 120.1 | 118.4 | 64.2 | 61.8 | 68.2 | 66.5 | 60.9 |
| Bankers Trust (BT) | 393.9 | 406.1 | 394.2 | 374.6 | 394.5 | 371.8 | 400.1 | 464.4 | 417.9 | 372.5 |
| Bk of America (BAC) | 114.2 | 118.5 | 114.2 | 112.0 | 92.8 | 93.9 | 83.5 | 92.2 | 83.6 | 77.4 |
| First Chicago (FCN) | 244.0 | 239.7 | 214.6 | 215.5 | 211.7 | 177.7 | 192.9 | 206.5 | 173.4 | 172.1 |
| Bk of NY (BNY) | 18.9 | 18.8 | 27.6 | 35.5 | 44.5 | 42.2 | 40.4 | 44.1 | 9.6 | 8.8 |
| Avg \% (Top Bks) | 234.0 | 244.0 | 237.0 | 267.0 | 242.0 | 244.0 | 266.0 | 290.0 | 260.4 | 243.4 |
| Avg \% (All Bks) | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.4 |

*Note: The third quarter 1996 Call Report reflected the merger between Chase and Chemical banks. Prior quarters are not merger-adjusted and may not be comparable.
Data Source: Call Report

Netting Benefit: Amount of Gross Exposure Eliminated
Through Bilateral Netting
All Commercial Banks with Derivatives, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary
\% Netting Benefit


## Quarterly Charge-Offs (Credit Losses) From Derivatives

All Commercial Banks with Derivatives, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Quarterly Charge-Offs (Credit Losses) From Derivatives (\$ Millions)

[^1]Data Source: Call Report

Quarterly Trading Revenue - Cash \& Off-Balance Sheet Positions
All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Cash \& Off-Balance Sheet Revenue (\$ Millions)*

|  | $\underline{951}$ 95Q2 95Q3 95Q4 96Q1 96Q2 96Q3 96Q4 97Q1 97Q2 97Q3 9704 98Q1 98Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate | 245 | 923 | 964 |  | 1,159 | 951 | 990 | 990 | 1,350 | 939 | 1,173 | 534 | 1,067 | 930 |
| Foreign Exchange | 616 | 520 | 761 | 592 | 628 | 732 | 514 | 767 | 690 | 908 | 1,070 | 1,281 | 1,363 | 1414 |
| Equity | 95 | 143 | 211 | 71 | 131 | 138 | 93 | 27 | 246 | 1 | 103 | -305 | 148 | 114 |
| Comdty \& Other | 41 | 45 | 7 | 24 | 60 | 95 | 137 | 82 | 97 | 115 | 125 | -320 | 124 | 98 |
| Tot Trading Rev* | 997 | 1,631 | 1,943 | ,566 | 1,978 | ,917 | ,734 | 1,866 | 2,383 | 1,962 | 2,471 | 1,190 | 2,703 | 2556 |

* Note that the trading revenue figures above are for cash and off-balance sheet activities. Revenue figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date.

Quarterly Trading Revenue as a Percentage of Gross Revenue

## Cash \& Off-Balance Sheet Positions

Top Commercial Banks with Derivatives, Second Quarter 1998 Data are Preliminary


Trading Revenue as a Percentage of Gross Revenue (top banks, 98 Q2 ranking, ratios in \%)*

95Q1 95Q2 95Q3 95Q4 96Q1 96Q2 96Q3 96Q4 97Q1 97Q2 97Q3 97Q4 98Q1 98Q2

| Chase Man. Bk (CMB) | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 7.8 | -0.7 | 7.5 | 5.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| J.P. Morgan (JPM) | 11.7 | 13.2 | 15.6 | 13.3 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 17.7 | 15.7 | 19.4 | 12.6 | 17.7 | 9.1 | 23.0 | 22.7 |
| Citibank (CCI) | 5.8 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 8.9 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 7.6 |
| NationsBank (NB) | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| Bankers Trust (BT) | -12.4 | 8.1 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 12.3 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 10.1 | -1.5 | 8.0 | 5.2 |
| Bank America (BAC) | 3.5 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| First Chicago (FCN) | 4.9 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 1.7 | -2.7 | -0.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | -2.1 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| Bank of NY (BNY) | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% (Top Banks) | 3.6 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 6.7 |
| Total \% (All Banks) | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 |

* Note: Trading revenue figures above are for cash and off balance sheet activities. Revenue figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date.
* Note: The third quarter 1996 Call Report reflected the merger between Chase and Chemical. Prior quarters include the sum of Chase and Chemical Banks' trading figures for comparison purposes.

Data Source: Call Report

Notional Amounts for Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Contracts by Maturity All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Notional Amounts: Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Contracts by Maturity (\$ Billions)*

95Q1 95Q2 95Q3 95Q4 96Q1 96Q2 96Q3 96Q4 97Q1 97Q2 97Q3 97Q4 98Q1 98Q2
$\mathrm{IR}:<1 \mathrm{yr}$


IR: 1-5 yr $2,925 \quad 3,1073,1473,2153,5253,6873,9543,2233,3854,6915,1335,2305,265 \quad 5,673$

| IR: $>5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 630 | 683 | 723 | 775 | 887 | 986 | 1,095 | 1,214 | 1,302 | 1,565 | 1,735 | 2,029 | 2,174 | 2,439 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FX: $<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | 4,833 | 4,465 | 4,802 | 4,206 | 4,445 | 4,771 | 4,902 | 4,826 | 5,144 | 5,347 | 5,483 | 5,639 | 5,213 | 5,390 |
| FX: $1-5 \mathrm{yr}$ | 302 | 337 | 341 | 324 | 350 | 366 | 383 | 402 | 475 | 485 | 516 | 516 | 492 | 441 |
| FX: $>5$ yrs | 82 | 76 | 84 | 87 | 92 | 100 | 104 | 113 | 116 | 133 | 143 | 151 | 167 | 158 |

Notional Amounts for Gold and Precious Metals Contracts by Maturity All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary
\$ Billions


Notional Amounts: Gold and Precious Metals Contracts by Maturity (\$ Billions)*

95Q1 95Q2 95Q3 95Q4 96Q1 96Q2 96Q3 96Q4 97Q1 97Q2 97Q3 97Q4 98Q1 98Q2

Gold: < 1 yr
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20.4 & 22.8 & 28.4 & 35.9 & 38.8 & 36.5 & 46.8 & 39.4 & 34.2 & 35.0 & 44.1 & 42.6 & 39.7 & 37.0\end{array}$

| Gold: $1-5 \mathrm{yr}$ | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 17.4 | 22.9 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 17.7 | 23.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gold: $>5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 9.1 |
| Prec Met: < 1 yr | 2.7 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 5.1 |
| Prec Met: 1-5 yr | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Prec Met: > 5 yrs | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Notional Amounts for Commodity and Equity Contracts by Maturity
All Commercial Banks, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary
\$ Billions


Notional Amounts: Commodity and Equity Contracts by Maturity (\$ Billions)*

|  | 95Q1 95Q2 95Q3 95Q4 96Q1 96Q2 96Q3 96Q4 97Q1 97Q2 97Q3 97Q4 98Q1 98Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oth Comm: < 1 yr | 36.6 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 22.3 | 34.8 | 40.8 | 33.8 | 39.6 | 32.2 | 35.5 | 29.8 | 29.3 | 30.3 | 28.8 |
| Oth Comm: 1-5 yr | 13.2 | 18.3 | 15.3 | 9.1 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 12.5 | 14.7 | 19.4 |
| Oth Comm: > 5 yrs | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| Equity: < 1 yr | 62.2 | 63.8 | 62.9 | 61.8 | 63.1 | 69.2 | 55.4 | 54.2 | 61.4 | 68.7 | 77.1 | 84.0 | 99.2 | 109.3 |
| Equity: 1-5 yr | 26.5 | 25.1 | 20.2 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 24.3 | 23.3 | 27.2 | 35.7 | 31.7 | 39.1 | 47.4 | 50.9 | 58.2 |
| Equity: > 5 yrs | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 11.1 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 9.2 |

## Percent of Depreciation or Appreciation in High Risk Mortgage Securities <br> to Risk Based Capital

All Commercial Banks by Asset Size, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary


Percent of (Depreciation) or Appreciation in High Risk Mortgage Securities to Risk Based Capital (\%)

95Q1 95Q2 95Q3 95Q4 96Q1 96Q2 96Q3 96Q4 97Q1 97Q2 97Q3 97Q4 98Q1 98Q2


$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { \$500MM-\$1B } & -0.77 & -0.38 & -0.27 & -0.19 & -0.23 & -0.44 & -0.37 & -0.13 & -0.22 & -0.18 & -0.04 & -0.01 & 0.00 & -0.08\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { \$1B-\$10B } & -0.66 & -0.93 & -0.11 & 0.20 & -0.02 & -0.20 & -0.14 & -0.01 & -0.08 & -0.03 & 0.00 & 0.08 & 0.08 & 0.02\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}>\$ 10 \mathrm{~B} & -0.08 & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.15 & 0.05 & -0.04 & 0.00 & 0.00 & -0.06 & -0.03 & 0.01 & 0.02 & -0.02 & -0.01\end{array}$
Avg All Bks (\%)
$-0.39 \quad \$ 0.26-0.07-0.10-0.02-0.15 \quad-0.08$

Percent of Depreciation or Appreciation in Structured Notes to Risk Based Capital
All Commercial Banks by Asset Size, Second Quarter 1998 Data Are Preliminary
\% RBC


Percent of (Depreciation) or Appreciation in Structured Notes to Risk Based Capital (\%)

|  | 95Q1 95Q2 | 95Q3 | 95Q4 | 96Q1 | 602 | 96Q3 | 96Q4 | 97Q1 | 97Q2 | 97Q3 | 7Q4 | 98Q1 | 9802 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <\$250MM | -1.34-0.83 | -0.72 | -0.49 | -0.50 | -0.59 | -0.51 | -0.27 | -0.42 | -0.37 | -0.26 | -0.23 | -0.22 | -0.20 |
| \$250MM-\$500MM | -1.09-0.51 | -0.42 | -0.16 | -0.28 | -0.36 | -0.25 | -0.16 | -0.17 | -0.13 | -0.08 | -0.07 | -0.04 | $-0.04$ |
| \$500MM-\$1B | -0.79-0.35 | -0.31 | -0.08 | -0.13 | $-0.20$ | -0.22 | -0.11 | -0.12 | -0.10 | 0.00 | -0.02 | -0.02 | -0.02 |
| \$1B-\$10B | $-0.60-0.18$ | -0.15 | 0.00 | -0.06 | $-0.16$ | -0.12 | -0.06 | -0.08 | -0.04 | 0.00 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| >\$10B | $-0.24-0.05$ | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.01 | $-0.01$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Avg All Bks (\%) | -0.71-0.33 | -0.26 | -0.13 | -0.15 | $-0.20$ | -0.17 | -0.10 | -0.13 | -0.08 | -0.04 | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.01 |

Data Source: Call Report

NOTIONAL AMOUNT OF OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS OF THE 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | TOTAL <br> DERIVATIVES | TOTAL <br> FUTURES <br> (EXCH TR) | TOTAL OPTIONS (EXCH TR) | TOTAL FORWARDS (OTC) | TOTAL SWAPS (OTC) | TOTAL OPTIONS (OTC) | TOTAL CREDIT DERIVATIVES (OTC) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPOT } \\ \mathrm{FX} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHASE MANHATTAN BANK | NY | \$302,162 | \$8,188,471 | \$576,599 | \$191,542 | \$2,511,450 | \$3,874,704 | \$1,018,769 | \$15,407 | \$201,567 |
| 2 | MORGAN GUARANTY TR CO OF NY | NY | \$188,258 | \$7,414,091 | \$925,280 | \$470,476 | \$1,125,687 | \$2,995,499 | \$1,819,462 | \$77,688 | \$53,440 |
| 3 | CITIBANK NA | NY | \$285,343 | \$3,315,490 | \$208,886 | \$80,126 | \$1,559,772 | \$786,640 | \$665,039 | \$15,027 | \$200,553 |
| 4 | NATIONSBANK NA | NC | \$232,397 | \$2,355,717 | \$251,543 | \$796,476 | \$126,826 | \$632,886 | \$535,249 | \$12,737 | \$11,147 |
| 5 | BANKERS TRUST CO | NY | \$131,252 | \$2,188,822 | \$138,230 | \$68,449 | \$672,277 | \$939,723 | \$365,752 | \$4,391 | \$106,158 |
| 6 | BANK OF AMERICA NT\&SA | CA | \$239,149 | \$1,710,360 | \$192,247 | \$17,836 | \$765,697 | \$565,360 | \$167,742 | \$1,478 | \$6,547 |
| 7 | FIRST NB OF CHICAGO | IL | \$62,191 | \$1,203,946 | \$47,644 | \$18,750 | \$322,366 | \$449,367 | \$365,819 | \$0 | \$30,652 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK | NY | \$59,284 | \$269,446 | \$10,464 | \$36,032 | \$43,318 | \$35,044 | \$144,588 | \$0 | \$11,281 |
| 9 | REPUBLIC NB OF NEW YORK | NY | \$54,749 | \$244,271 | \$12,692 | \$3,450 | \$106,482 | \$39,815 | \$81,782 | \$50 | \$14,305 |
| 10 | FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK | NC | \$213,300 | \$214,999 | \$36,610 | \$42,968 | \$6,603 | \$87,442 | \$41,368 | \$9 | \$2,293 |
| 11 | BANKBOSTON NA | MA | \$68,216 | \$129,178 | \$21,277 | \$22,323 | \$37,833 | \$27,827 | \$18,742 | \$1,177 | \$5,119 |
| 12 | STATE STREET BANK \& TRUST | MA | \$42,484 | \$115,603 | \$375 | \$90 | \$113,168 | \$1,437 | \$532 | \$0 | \$5,633 |
| 13 | FLEET NATIONAL BANK | RI | \$71,599 | \$69,916 | \$50 | \$183 | \$8,684 | \$27,770 | \$33,229 | \$0 | \$1,389 |
| 14 | MELLON BANK NA | PA | \$39,497 | \$69,108 | \$7,175 | \$600 | \$31,514 | \$19,215 | \$10,603 | \$0 | \$3,249 |
| 15 | WELLS FARGO BANK NA | CA | \$85,642 | \$68,662 | \$13,753 | \$39 | \$2,681 | \$23,992 | \$28,197 | \$0 | \$333 |
| 16 | KEYBANK NA | OH | \$71,245 | \$52,832 | \$10,491 | \$4,185 | \$2,614 | \$24,582 | \$10,961 | \$0 | \$708 |
| 17 | BANK ONE NA | OH | \$25,222 | \$35,808 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$34,841 | \$956 | \$10 | \$0 |
| 18 | PNC BANK NA | PA | \$70,272 | \$34,954 | \$1,246 | \$0 | \$5,484 | \$14,691 | \$13,483 | \$50 | \$202 |
| 19 | NATIONAL CITY BANK | OH | \$25,553 | \$32,502 | \$4,219 | \$46 | \$587 | \$19,709 | \$7,850 | \$92 | \$161 |
| 20 | CHASE MANHATTAN BANK NA | DE | \$31,666 | \$27,033 | \$94 | \$0 | \$8,026 | \$8,555 | \$10,358 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21 | WACHOVIA BANK NA | NC | \$61,489 | \$22,031 | \$168 | \$0 | \$4,214 | \$12,212 | \$4,615 | \$821 | \$628 |
| 22 | FIRST TENNESSEE BANK NA | TN | \$15,577 | \$20,923 | \$0 | \$40 | \$8,106 | \$651 | \$12,126 | \$0 | \$1 |
| 23 | CITIBANK SOUTH DAKOTA NA | SD | \$13,569 | \$18,768 | \$2,769 | \$1,140 | \$0 | \$12,622 | \$2,237 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 24 | CHASE BANK OF TEXAS NA | TX | \$24,909 | \$18,460 | \$0 | \$4,200 | \$1,143 | \$6,717 | \$6,400 | \$0 | \$509 |
| 25 | CITIBANK NEVADA NA | NV | \$6,991 | \$17,777 | \$2,725 | \$1,700 | \$11 | \$11,159 | \$2,181 | \$0 | \$0 |
| TOP 25 COM | ERCIAL BANKS \& TCs WITH DERIVAT |  | \$2,422,016 | \$27,839,167 | \$2,464,537 | \$1,760,650 | \$7,464,541 | \$10,652,461 | \$5,368,041 | \$128,937 | \$655,873 |
| OTHER 436 | OMMERCIAL BANKS \& TCs WITH DERI | IVES | \$1,582,097 | \$336,733 | \$18,514 | \$8,842 | \$55,542 | \$193,939 | \$59,631 | \$265 | \$5,917 |
| TOTAL AMO | NTS FOR ALL 461 BKS \& TCs WITH DE | ATIVES | \$4,004,113 | \$28,175,900 | \$2,483,051 | \$1,769,492 | \$7,520,083 | \$10,846,399 | \$5,427,672 | \$129,202 | \$661,790 |

[^2]| RANK | HOLDING COMPANY | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DERIVATIVES } \end{array}$ | FUTURES <br> (EXCH TR) | OPTIONS <br> (EXCH TR) | FORWARDS (OTC) | SWAPS <br> (OTC) | OPTIONS <br> (OTC) | CREDIT <br> DERIVATIVES | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPOT } \\ \text { FX } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHASE MANHATTAN CORPORATION | NY | \$366,995 | \$8,298,515 | \$581,407 | \$252,716 | \$2,531,148 | \$3,886,961 | \$1,030,876 | \$15,407 | \$202,008 |
| 2 | JP MORGAN \& CO INCORPORATED | NY | \$280,777 | \$7,447,215 | \$938,696 | \$487,898 | \$1,165,483 | \$2,964,410 | \$1,813,039 | \$77,688 | \$53,440 |
| 3 | CITICORP | NY | \$330,751 | \$3,299,448 | \$214,965 | \$84,058 | \$1,556,822 | \$763,888 | \$664,688 | \$15,027 | \$198,686 |
| 4 | NATIONSBANK CORP | NC | \$307,985 | \$2,324,963 | \$251,773 | \$801,983 | \$126,287 | \$626,019 | \$506,164 | \$12,737 | \$11,147 |
| 5 | BANKERS TRUST CO | NY | \$172,311 | \$2,203,295 | \$151,034 | \$75,222 | \$673,123 | \$930,855 | \$363,442 | \$9,619 | \$106,164 |
| 6 | BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION | CA | \$263,885 | \$1,709,303 | \$196,822 | \$17,836 | \$775,343 | \$553,397 | \$164,427 | \$1,478 | \$6,456 |
| 7 | FIRST NB OF CHICAGO CORPORATION | IL | \$119,781 | \$1,198,669 | \$48,387 | \$18,931 | \$323,619 | \$443,599 | \$364,133 | \$0 | \$30,729 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK COMPANY | NY | \$63,003 | \$263,609 | \$10,464 | \$36,032 | \$43,318 | \$29,209 | \$144,586 | \$0 | \$11,281 |
| 9 | REPUBLIC NB OF NEW YORK CORPORATION | NY | \$59,919 | \$244,259 | \$13,404 | \$4,342 | \$106,718 | \$37,963 | \$81,782 | \$50 | \$14,607 |
| 10 | FIRST UNION CORPORATION | NC | \$228,996 | \$221,600 | \$43,218 | \$42,969 | \$9,517 | \$83,159 | \$42,727 | \$9 | \$2,293 |
| 11 | BANKBOSTON CORPORATION | MA | \$70,499 | \$127,816 | \$21,245 | \$22,323 | \$37,124 | \$27,207 | \$18,742 | \$1,177 | \$5,119 |
| 12 | STATE STREET CORPORATION | MA | \$46,711 | \$115,603 | \$375 | \$90 | \$113,168 | \$1,437 | \$532 | \$0 | \$5,633 |
| 13 | NORWEST CORPORATION | MN | \$93,153 | \$91,683 | \$5,277 | \$12,463 | \$32,157 | \$8,568 | \$33,194 | \$25 | \$256 |
| 14 | FLEET FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. | MA | \$100,817 | \$69,883 | \$50 | \$183 | \$8,684 | \$27,499 | \$33,467 | \$0 | \$1,389 |
| 15 | WELLS FARGO \& COMPANY | CA | \$93,200 | \$68,023 | \$13,780 | \$39 | \$2,691 | \$24,392 | \$27,122 | \$0 | \$333 |
| 16 | MELLON BANK CORPORATION | PA | \$47,603 | \$66,656 | \$7,175 | \$600 | \$31,516 | \$16,761 | \$10,603 | \$0 | \$3,249 |
| 17 | KEYCORP | OH | \$75,677 | \$54,797 | \$10,691 | \$4,185 | \$2,696 | \$25,656 | \$11,569 | \$0 | \$708 |
| 18 | BANC ONE CORPORATION | OH | \$124,781 | \$36,207 | \$245 | \$106 | \$100 | \$32,369 | \$3,347 | \$40 | \$112 |
| 19 | PNC BANK CORP. | PA | \$75,918 | \$34,081 | \$1,251 | \$0 | \$5,484 | \$13,804 | \$13,491 | \$50 | \$202 |
| 20 | NATIONAL CITY CORPORATION | OH | \$81,258 | \$33,478 | \$4,219 | \$531 | \$4,172 | \$16,995 | \$7,469 | \$92 | \$161 |
| 21 | ABN AMRO NORTH AMERICA, INC | IL | \$54,010 | \$31,046 | \$7,749 | \$0 | \$360 | \$18,760 | \$4,177 | \$0 | \$8 |
| 22 | FIRST TENESSEE NATIONAL CORP. | TN | \$16,572 | \$20,923 | \$0 | \$40 | \$8,106 | \$651 | \$12,126 | \$0 | \$1 |
| 23 | WACHOVIA CORPORATION | NC | \$64,818 | \$20,831 | \$168 | \$0 | \$4,214 | \$11,012 | \$4,615 | \$821 | \$628 |
| 24 | SUNTRUST BANKS, INC | GA | \$61,393 | \$19,187 | \$0 | \$7 | \$748 | \$15,255 | \$3,177 | \$0 | \$80 |
| 25 | NORTHERN TRUST CORPORATION | IL | \$29,777 | \$15,976 | \$204 | \$0 | \$12,912 | \$2,698 | \$162 | \$0 | \$1,602 |
| TOTALS FOR THE TOP 25 HOLDING COMPANIES WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$3,230,590 | \$28,017,066 | \$2,522,599 | \$1,862,554 | \$7,575,510 | \$10,562,524 | \$5,359,657 | \$134,220 | \$656,292 |
| Note: Currently, the Y-9 report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives. Note: In previous quarters, total derivatives included spot foreign exchange. Beginning in the first quarter, 1995, spot foreign exchange is reported separately. Data source: Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies, FR Y-9, schedule HC-F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS
JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS, RATIOS IN PERCENT
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY

| RANK | BANKNAME | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | BILATERALLY NETTED CURRENT EXPOSURE | FUTURE EXPOSURE (NEW RBC ADD ON) | TOTAL CREDIT EXPOSURE FROM ALL CONTRACTS | tOTAL CREDIT EXPOSURE tO CAPITAL RATIO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\%) |
| 1 | CHASE MANHATTAN BANK | NY | \$302,162 | \$8,188,471 | \$37,641 | \$46,808 | \$84,449 | 334.3 |
| 2 | MORGAN GUARANTY TR CO OF NY | NY | \$188,258 | \$7,414,091 | \$57,685 | \$50,514 | \$108,198 | 727.6 |
| 3 | CITIBANK NA | NY | \$285,343 | \$3,315,490 | \$26,722 | \$23,884 | \$50,606 | 193.7 |
| 4 | NATIONSBANK NA | NC | \$232,397 | \$2,355,717 | \$4,870 | \$7,843 | \$12,713 | 60.9 |
| 5 | BANKERS TRUST CO | NY | \$131,252 | \$2,188,822 | \$17,650 | \$17,492 | \$35,142 | 372.5 |
| 6 | BANK OF AMERICA NT\&SA | CA | \$239,149 | \$1,710,360 | \$8,467 | \$10,254 | \$18,721 | 77.4 |
| 7 | FIRST NB OF CHICAGO | IL | \$62,191 | \$1,203,946 | \$4,186 | \$7,357 | \$11,543 | 172.1 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK | NY | \$59,284 | \$269,446 | \$268 | \$267 | \$534 | 8.8 |
| 9 | REPUBLIC NB OF NEW YORK | NY | \$54,749 | \$244,271 | \$2,329 | \$2,185 | \$4,514 | 102.5 |
| 10 | FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK | NC | \$213,300 | \$214,999 | \$1,121 | \$893 | \$2,014 | 11.2 |
| 11 | BANKBOSTON NA | MA | \$68,216 | \$129,178 | \$567 | \$684 | \$1,251 | 18.3 |
| 12 | STATE STREET BANK \& TRUST | MA | \$42,484 | \$115,603 | \$1,050 | \$1,093 | \$2,143 | 92.9 |
| 13 | FLEET NATIONAL BANK | RI | \$71,599 | \$69,916 | \$310 | \$257 | \$567 | 7.3 |
| 14 | MELLON BANK NA | PA | \$39,497 | \$69,108 | \$583 | \$444 | \$1,027 | 24.9 |
| 15 | WELLS FARGO BANK NA | CA | \$85,642 | \$68,662 | \$328 | \$238 | \$566 | 7.3 |
| 16 | KEYBANK NA | OH | \$71,245 | \$52,832 | \$374 | \$213 | \$586 | 7.7 |
| 17 | BANK ONE NA | OH | \$25,222 | \$35,808 | \$302 | \$221 | \$522 | 19.0 |
| 18 | PNC BANK NA | PA | \$70,272 | \$34,954 | \$440 | \$115 | \$555 | 8.0 |
| 19 | NATIONAL CITY BANK | OH | \$25,553 | \$32,502 | \$212 | \$159 | \$371 | 15.0 |
| 20 | CHASE MANHATTAN BANK NA | DE | \$31,666 | \$27,033 | \$297 | \$122 | \$419 | 12.3 |
| 21 | WACHOVIA BANK NA | NC | \$61,489 | \$22,031 | \$180 | \$164 | \$344 | 5.1 |
| 22 | FIRST TENNESSEE BANK NA | TN | \$15,577 | \$20,923 | \$30 | \$47 | \$77 | 6.9 |
| 23 | CITIBANK SOUTH DAKOTA NA | SD | \$13,569 | \$18,768 | \$127 | \$61 | \$188 | 9.6 |
| 24 | CHASE BANK OF TEXAS NA | TX | \$24,909 | \$18,460 | \$85 | \$141 | \$226 | 11.3 |
| 25 | CITIBANK NEVADA NA | NV | \$6,991 | \$17,777 | \$150 | \$71 | \$221 | 20.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average\% |
| TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$2,422,016 | \$27,839,167 | \$165,972 | \$171,528 | \$337,500 | 93.1 |
| OTHER 436 COMMERCIAL BANKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$1,582,097 | \$336,733 | \$2,769 | \$1,793 | \$4,562 | N/A |
| TOTAL AMOUNTS FOR ALL 461 BKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$4,004,113 | \$28,175,900 | \$168,740 | \$173,321 | \$342,061 | 6.4 |

Commercial banks also hold on-balance sheet assets in volumes that are multiples of bank capital. For example:

| EXPOSURES FROM OTHER ASSETS | EXPOSURE TO RISK <br> BASED CAPITAL: <br> ALL BANKS |
| :--- | :---: |
| ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS | $150 \%$ |
| 1-4 FAMILY MORTGAGES | $170 \%$ |
| C\&I LOANS | $181 \%$ |

Note: The numbers reported above for future credit exposures reflect gross add-ons
Note: The total credit exposure to capital ratio is calculated using risk based capital (tier one plus tier two capital).
Note: Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives here.
Note: Before the first quarter of 1995 total derivatives included spot foreign exchange. Beginning in the first quarter, 1995, spot foreign exchange was reported separately.
Source: Call Report Schedule RC-R

NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS HELD FOR TRADING OF THE 8 COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS, RATIOS IN PERCENT

NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | TOTAL HELD FOR TRADING \& MTM | HELD FOR TRADING \& MTM | TOTAL NOT TRADED MTM \& NOT MTM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHASE MANHATTAN BANK | NY | \$302,162 | \$8,173,064 | \$7,867,192 | 96.3 | \$305,872 | 3.7 |
| 2 | MORGAN GUARANTY TR CO OF NY | NY | \$188,258 | \$7,335,403 | \$7,247,199 | 98.8 | \$89,204 | 1.2 |
| 3 | CITIBANK NA | NY | \$285,343 | \$3,300,463 | \$3,146,890 | 95.3 | \$153,403 | 4.6 |
| 4 | NATIONSBANK NA | NC | \$232,397 | \$2,342,980 | \$2,270,371 | 96.9 | \$72,609 | 3.1 |
| 5 | BANKERS TRUST CO | NY | \$131,252 | \$2,184,431 | \$2,118,361 | 97.0 | \$66,070 | 3.0 |
| 6 | BANK OF AMERICA NT\&SA | CA | \$239,149 | \$1,708,882 | \$1,579,614 | 92.4 | \$129,268 | 7.6 |
| 7 | FIRST NB OF CHICAGO | IL | \$62,191 | \$1,203,946 | \$1,197,349 | 99.5 | \$6,596 | 0.5 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK | NY | \$59,284 | \$269,446 | \$265,436 | 98.5 | \$4,010 | 1.5 |
| TOP 8 COMMERCIAL B | NKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  | \$1,500,036 | \$26,518,615 | \$25,692,412 | 96.9 | \$827,032 | 3.1 |
| OTHER 453 COMMERC | L BANKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  | \$2,504,077 | \$1,528,083 | \$907,462 | 59.4 | \$615,471 | 40.3 |
| TOP 25 COMMERCIAL | ANKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  | \$2,422,016 | \$27,710,230 | \$26,509,642 | 95.7 | \$1,196,550 | 4.3 |
| OTHER 436 COMMERC | L BANKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  | \$1,582,097 | \$336,468 | \$90,232 | 26.8 | \$245,954 | 73.1 |
| TOTAL AMOUNTS FOR | LL 461 BKS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  | \$4,004,113 | \$28,046,698 | \$26,599,874 | 94.8 | \$1,442,504 | 5.1 |

GROSS FAIR VALUES OF OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS OF THE 8 COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY


TRADING REVENUE FROM CASH INSTRUMENTS AND OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES OF THE 8 COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY
NOTE: REVENUE FIGURES ARE FOR SECOND QUARTER (NOT YEAR-TO-DATE)


# HIGH RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES 

FOR ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS REPORTING HIGH RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES
JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS, RATIOS IN PERCENT
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY

FOR UNIVERSE OF BANKS WITH HIGH RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES

| ASSET SIZE | TOTAL <br> \# OF BKS | \# BKS WITH HIGH RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES | TOTAL ASSETS | TOTAL <br> RISK BASED CAPITAL | HIGH RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES FAIR VALUE | HIGH RISK MORTGAGE SECURITIES BOOK value | BOOK VALUE CONCENTRATION (\% OF TOT ASSETS) | APPRECIATION OR (DEPRECIATION) FAIR - BOOK | APPRECIATION OR (DEPRECIATION) TO BOOK VALUE | APPRECIATION OR (DEPRECIATION) TO RISK BASED CAPITAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\%) |  | (\%) | (\%) |
| \$ LT 250 MM | 7,685 | 256 | \$23,994 | \$2,513 | \$220 | \$224 | 0.93 | (\$3.6) | (1.60) | (0.14) |
| \$250MM - \$500MM | 621 | 44 | \$15,503 | \$1,539 | \$244 | \$245 | 1.58 | (\$0.4) | (0.16) | (0.03) |
| \$500MM - \$1B | 304 | 22 | \$15,816 | \$1,324 | \$149 | \$150 | 0.95 | (\$1.0) | (0.68) | (0.08) |
| \$1B-\$10B | 310 | 37 | \$145,163 | \$12,607 | \$1,304 | \$1,301 | 0.90 | \$2.7 | 0.21 | 0.02 |
| GT \$10 B | 64 | 15 | \$333,181 | \$29,884 | \$1,477 | \$1,481 | 0.44 | (\$4.2) | (0.28) | (0.01) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average \% |  | Average \% | Average \% |
| TOTAL | 8,984 | 374 | \$533,656 | \$47,867 | \$3,394 | \$3,401 | 0.64 | (\$6.5) | (0.19) | (0.01) |

Note: High risk mortgage securities include "Held to Maturity" and "Available for Sale" accounts
Data source: Call Report, schedule RC-B

## STRUCTURED NOTES

FOR ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS REPORTING STRUCTURED NOTES
JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS, RATIOS IN PERCENT
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY

FOR UNIVERSE OF BANKS WITH STRUCTURED NOTES


Note: Structured notes include "Held to Maturity" and "Available for Sale" accounts.
Data source: Call Report, schedule RC-B

NOTIONAL AMOUNT OF OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE \& MATURITY FOR THE 8 COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS


## NOTIONAL AMOUNT OF OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE \& MATURITY FOR THE 8

COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS
JUNE 30, 1998, \$ MILLIONS
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | total <br> DERIVATIVES | $\begin{gathered} \text { GOLD } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ <1 \text { YR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GOLD } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ 1-5 \text { YRS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GOLD } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ >5 \text { YRS } \end{array}$ | GOLD ALL MATURITIES | PREC METALS MATURITY $<1$ YR | PREC METALS MATURITY 1-5 YRS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PREC METALS } \\ & \text { MATURITY } \\ & >5 \text { YRS } \end{aligned}$ | PREC METALS ALL MATURITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHASE MANHATTAN BANK | NY | \$302,162 | \$8,173,064 | \$8,031 | \$11,497 | \$5,547 | \$25,075 | \$341 | \$90 | \$0 | \$431 |
| 2 | MORGAN GUARANTY TR CO OF NY | NY | \$188,258 | \$7,335,403 | \$13,409 | \$4,949 | \$940 | \$19,298 | \$1,891 | \$243 | \$0 | \$2,134 |
| 3 | CITIBANK NA | NY | \$285,343 | \$3,300,463 | \$3,306 | \$2,469 | \$2,141 | \$7,916 | \$78 | \$94 | \$0 | \$172 |
| 4 | NATIONSBANK NA | NC | \$232,397 | \$2,342,980 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5 | BANKERS TRUST CO | NY | \$131,252 | \$2,184,431 | \$2,718 | \$1,678 | \$285 | \$4,681 | \$124 | \$54 | \$0 | \$178 |
| 6 | BANK OF AMERICA NT\&SA | CA | \$239,149 | \$1,708,882 | \$0 | \$1 | \$0 | \$1 | \$59 | \$0 | \$0 | \$59 |
| 7 | FIRST NB OF CHICAGO | IL | \$62,191 | \$1,203,946 | \$26 | \$67 | \$0 | \$94 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK | NY | \$59,284 | \$269,446 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0, | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTIONAL AMOUNT OF OFF BALANCE SHEET DERIVATIVES CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE \& MATURITY FOR THE 8
COMMERCIAL BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES WITH THE MOST OFF BALANCE DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS LIONS
NOTE: DATA ARE PRELIMINARY


## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Bilateral Netting: A legally enforceable arrangement between a bank and a counterparty that creates a single legal obligation covering all included individual contracts. This means that a bank's obligation, in the event of the default or insolvency of one of the parties, would be the net sum of all positive and negative fair values of contracts included in the bilateral netting arrangement.

Derivative: A financial contract whose value is derived from the performance of assets, interest rates, currency exchange rates, or indexes. Derivative transactions include a wide assortment of financial contracts including structured debt obligations and deposits, swaps, futures, options, caps, floors, collars, forwards and various combinations thereof.

Exchange-Traded Derivative Contracts: Standardized derivative contracts transacted on an organized exchange and which usually have margin requirements.

Gross Negative Fair Value: The sum total of the fair values of contracts where the bank owes money to its counterparties, without taking into account netting. This represents the maximum losses the bank's counterparties would incur if the bank defaults and there is no netting of contracts, and no bank collateral was held by the counterparties.

Gross Positive Fair Value: The sum total of the fair values of contracts where the bank is owed money by its counterparties, without taking into account netting. This represents the maximum losses a bank could incur if all its counterparties default and there is no netting of contracts, and the bank holds no counterparty collateral.

High-Risk Mortgage Securities: Securities where the price or expected average life is highly sensitive to interest rate changes, as determined by the FFIEC policy statement on high-risk mortgage securities. See also OCC Banking Circular 228 (rev.)

Off-Balance Sheet Derivative Contracts: Derivative contracts that generally do not involve booking assets or liabilities (i.e., swaps, futures, forwards, and options).

Over-the-Counter Derivative Contracts: Privately negotiated derivative contracts that are transacted off organized exchanges.

Structured Notes: Non-mortgage-backed debt securities, whose cash flow characteristics depend on one or more indices and/or have embedded forwards or options.

Total Risk-Based Capital: The sum of tier 1 plus tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders equity, perpetual preferred shareholders equity with noncumulative dividends, retained earnings, and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries. Tier 2 capital consists of subordinated debt, intermediate-term preferred stock, cumulative and long-term preferred stock, and a portion of a bank's allowance for loan and lease losses.


[^0]:    *in billions of dollars; notional value of futures, total exchange traded options, total over the counter options, total forwards, and total swaps. Note that data after 1994 do not include spot fx in the total notional amount of derivatives
    Credit derivatives were reported for the first time in the first quarter of 1997. Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate credit derivatives by product, which have therefore been added as a separate category. As of 1997, credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives in this chart.

    Note: numbers may not add due to rounding.
    Data Source: Call Reports

[^1]:    * Note that the figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date

[^2]:    Note: Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives here.
    Note: Before the first quarter of 1995 total derivatives included spot foreign exchange. Beginning in the first quarter, 1995, spot foreign exchange was reported separately.
    Data source: Call Report, schedule RC-L

