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Chairwoman Waters, Ranking Member McHenry, and members of the Committee, I am pleased to submit a statement for the record to update the Committee on several activities underway at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC). While I am unavailable to appear before the Committee in person, my statement provides an overview of the condition of the federal banking system, an update on several of the OCC’s priorities, information about our diversity and fintech efforts, and concludes with a brief discussion of challenges to the banking system that deserve regulator and industry attention.

**Condition of the Federal Banking System**

The OCC supervises approximately 1,200 national banks, federal savings associations, and federal branches and agencies of foreign banks (banks) operating in the United States. These banks range in size from small community banks to the largest most globally active banks. The vast majority of national banks and federal savings associations, numbering approximately 940, have less than $1 billion in assets, while more than 60 have greater than $10 billion in assets. Combined, these banks hold $12.8 trillion or almost 70 percent of all assets of U.S. commercial banks.

OCC-supervised institutions manage more than $55 trillion in assets held in custody or under fiduciary control, which amounts to 44 percent of all fiduciary and custodial assets in insured U.S. banks, savings associations, and uninsured national trust banks. The federal banking system holds more than three-quarters of the credit card balances in the country, while servicing about a third of all first-lien residential mortgages. Through their products and services, most American families have at least one relationship with an OCC-regulated bank.
The condition of the federal banking system remains strong and is a source of economic opportunity for local communities and the nation as a whole. In July 2019, the current economic expansion of more than 10 years became the longest in U.S. history, which has benefited banks’ overall financial performance and banks have helped maintain that momentum. Capital and liquidity remain near historic highs. As of June 30, 2019, the overall federal banking system, including community banks with less than $1 billion in total assets, held record high levels of capital as reflected in their leverage ratios as well as their tier 1 risk-based capital ratios. Tier 1 capital ratios for the federal banking system have increased from 9.4 percent in 2008 to 13.5 percent in 2019. For the same time period, banks with less than $1 billion in total assets reported an increase in Tier 1 capital ratios from 14.3 percent to 18.5 percent. OCC-supervised banks reported healthy revenue growth with a return on equity of 12.7 percent. Net income increased 8 percent during the last year, although net interest margin was flat through the second quarter of 2019.

The OCC closely monitors the number of outstanding Matters Requiring Attention (MRA) concerns as an additional indicator of bank health. The number of MRAs issued by OCC examiners fell slightly during 2019 and is at its lowest level since 2006. Banks have invested significant time and resources addressing our supervisory concerns, and the decline in outstanding MRAs represent sustained improvements in bank governance, oversight, risk management systems and controls, and operating conditions of the banks.

**OCC Priorities and Objectives**

Since becoming Comptroller, I have sought to strengthen the federal banking system as an engine to promote economic growth and prosperity for consumers, businesses, and communities, including low- to moderate-income (LMI) communities, across the country. My
priorities have been squarely focused on ensuring that the OCC operates as effectively and efficiently as possible; completing the implementation of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act of 2018 (Economic Growth Act) to reduce regulatory burden for small and mid-size institutions while safeguarding the financial system and protecting consumers; modernizing the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) to increase lending, investment, and banking services where it is needed most; encouraging small dollar lending; and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) compliance.

**OCC Operational Efficiencies**

When I arrived at the OCC, I immediately made the agency’s effectiveness and efficiency a top priority. Ensuring the OCC operates effectively and efficiently allows us to succeed in our mission, to be a responsible steward of every assessment dollar collected, and to maintain a professional and inspiring workplace for the men and women who serve our nation by supervising the federal banking system. In fiscal year 2019, we were again able to reduce our expenses while being mindful of our bottom line. We continue to be fully reserved including our projected three-year capital expenditures, without sacrificing the high-quality supervision and technical expertise that national banks and federal savings associations have come to expect.

Because of these and other efficiencies, the OCC announced last week that we are reducing the assessment rates charged to supervised institutions in all fee schedules by 10 percent for the 2020 calendar year. The reduced assessments go into effect January 1, 2020 and will be reflected in semiannual assessments paid by national banks and federal savings associations. This 2020 fee reduction is in addition to the 10 percent reduction in the General Assessment Fee Schedule rates that the OCC implemented for the 2019 calendar year. The
reduction in fees is expected to reduce costs to the federal banking system by $85 million in 2020. These reductions in rates help to better align the OCC’s revenues with the agency’s streamlined cost structure and make assessed fees more comparable to state counterparts. Most importantly, reductions in assessed fees have not impaired our ability to fully succeed in our mission of ensuring that the federal banking system operates in a safe, sound, and fair manner.

Examples of operating efficiencies that have allowed the agency to reduce the fees charged to banks are savings associated with optimizing space and real estate costs, reducing contract service costs, and conducting more remote work to reduce associated travel. While reducing costs, the OCC continues to invest in the future of OCC employees and the capabilities of the agency. For example, the OCC recently completed an agency-wide computer refresh to ensure that employees have current computer operating systems in place. In fiscal year 2020, the agency funded improvements in video teleconferencing and bandwidth to improve capabilities provided to employees to perform their jobs and continues to fund development of a single supervisory system to modernize the tools the agency uses to support its bank supervision activities. The improved system, expected by the end of 2020, will enable examiners to spend more time focused on higher priority supervisory work, thereby enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of the agency’s supervision.

**Implementation of the Economic Growth Act and Other Regulations**

On November 18, 2019, the OCC completed its work to implement the common sense, bipartisan reforms provided by the Economic Growth Act. The Economic Growth Act and other regulations we have finalized will reduce regulatory burden for small and mid-size institutions while safeguarding the financial system and protecting consumers.
The OCC had the responsibility to issue one OCC-specific regulation, in addition to several joint agency rulemakings, to fulfill the requirements of the Economic Growth Act. Finalizing nine interagency rules in about 18 months reflects a significant commitment among the agencies to swiftly implement the Act so that banks, consumers, and business could realize the benefits intended by the law as quickly as practical. I applaud the OCC staff members and the cooperation of staff from the other agencies who all worked tirelessly to accomplish this significant task.

*Thrift charter flexibility.* Section 206 of the Act required the OCC to issue rules to provide flexibility to small federal savings associations to broaden their business models and elect to operate with the powers and restrictions of national banks, while adhering to the same duties and restrictions, and retain their thrift charter and governance framework. The OCC published its final rule in May 2019.¹ Since that time, nine federal savings associations have made this election.

*Examination cycle.* Section 210 of the Act expanded eligibility for an 18-month examination cycle to eligible community banks, making the extended examination cycle available to more 1- and 2-rated institutions. This change, together with parallel changes to the on-site examination cycle for U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, allows the agencies to better focus their supervisory resources on higher risk financial institutions that are more likely to present capital, managerial, or other supervisory issues and thus enhance safety and soundness collectively for all financial institutions. This regulation was completed in December 2018.²

---

Short form Call Report. Section 205 of the Act provides for reduced reporting requirements on Call Reports for the first and third quarters for institutions with less than $5 billion in total consolidated assets. This change expands the number of community institutions that can benefit from the reduced burden associated with the short form Call Report, freeing up employees and other resources to serve customers and the operational needs of the institutions. The agencies published the final rule to implement this provision in June 2019.3

Appraisals of residential real property. Section 103 of the Act provides a tailored exemption from the appraisal requirements for certain residential mortgage loans with a transaction value of less than $400,000 located in rural areas. This final rule was published in October 2019.4

Volcker Rule: Sections 203 and 204 of the Act make changes to the statutory provisions underlying the Volcker Rule, including reducing the number of institutions subject to its requirements and easing restrictions on common names between banks and sponsored funds. These changes provide regulatory relief to institutions that do not pose the types of risks the Volcker Rule was intended to limit. The agencies published the final rule in July 2019.5

Community bank leverage ratio. Section 201 of the Act requires the agencies to simplify capital requirements for community banks by allowing them to adopt a simple leverage ratio to measure capital adequacy. The community bank leverage ratio framework removes requirements for calculating and reporting risk-based capital ratios for a qualifying community bank that opts into the framework. The agencies estimate approximately 85 percent of community banks will

---

qualify for this new framework, which will be available for banks to use in their March 31, 2020, Call Report. The final rule was published in November 2019.⁶

Supplementary leverage ratio for custody banks. Section 402 of the Act directs the agencies to amend the supplementary leverage ratio (SLR) to exclude certain funds of banking organizations deposited with qualifying central banks, if the banking organization predominantly engages in custody, safekeeping, and asset servicing activities. The OCC approved this interagency final rule in November 2019.⁷

High-Quality liquid assets. Section 403 of the Act requires the federal banking agencies to amend their Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) rules to treat qualifying liquid and readily-marketable, investment grade municipal securities as level 2B liquid assets. The agencies published a final rule on this provision in June 2019.⁸

High volatility commercial real estate. Section 214 of the Act limits the types of acquisition, development, and construction loans that may be considered high volatility commercial real estate exposures and subject to heightened capital requirements. The OCC approved this joint final rule in November 2019 to revise the capital rule to conform to this new definition.⁹

Stress testing. Section 401 of the Act requires changes to certain aspects of “company-run” stress testing requirements. The Act raises the minimum asset threshold for banks covered by the company-run stress testing requirement from $10 billion to $250 billion in total consolidated assets; revises the requirement for banks to conduct stress tests annually and instead

---

requires them to conduct stress tests periodically; and reduces the number of required stress test scenarios from three to two. The agencies issued final rules in October 2019.\textsuperscript{10}

\textit{Tailoring capital and liquidity requirements.} In November 2019, the OCC approved a joint final rule to tailor capital and liquidity requirements for banking organizations with more than $100 billion in assets to more closely match their risk profiles.\textsuperscript{11} The final rule establishes risk-based categories for determining applicability of requirements under the regulatory capital rule, the liquidity coverage ratio rule, and the proposed net stable funding ratio rule for large U.S. banking organizations. These proposals build upon the agencies’ existing practices of tailoring capital and liquidity requirements based on the size, complexity, and overall risk profile of banking organizations and are consistent with section 401 of the Economic Growth Act that raises the minimum asset threshold for application of enhanced prudential standards from $50 billion to $250 billion in total consolidated assets. Importantly, regulatory capital and liquidity requirements for U.S. global systemically important banks do not change under the tailoring proposal.

\textit{Valid when made.} On November 18, 2019, the OCC issued a proposed rule to clarify that when a national bank or savings association sells, assigns, or otherwise transfers a loan, the interest rate permissible prior to the transfer continues to be permissible following the transfer.\textsuperscript{12} This proposal will address confusion about the effect of a transfer on a loan’s valid interest rate, including the uncertainty in contract law imposed by the \textit{Madden v. Midland Funding} decision. The proposal is intended to provide clarity and restore confidence in the value and validity of bank contracts. Further, it will allow for the continued orderly function of secondary markets and

for a bank to continue to manage its liquidity through access to secondary markets. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) issued a similar proposed rule, which applies to state non-member banks.

**Modernization of the Community Reinvestment Act**

The OCC began work to modernize the CRA regulations and encourage more lending, investment, and services to communities that need it most in December 2017. The effort to modernize the CRA regulations builds upon previous sentiment and feedback from stakeholders that the CRA regulations have not kept up with changes in banking and should be updated to make CRA work more effectively and efficiently for all stakeholders. I, and the dedicated staff at the OCC, continue to work toward the goal of releasing a proposed rule by the end of the year and are working with our colleagues at the FDIC to issue it jointly. A joint rule with the FDIC would cover all national banks, state banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve System, and federal and state savings associations. These banks conduct upwards of 85 percent of all CRA activity in the country. While the Federal Reserve has determined that it will not join a notice of proposed rulemaking at this time, it is our shared hope that final rules can be issued to provide a consistent regulatory framework for all insured depository institutions covered by CRA.

As staff have worked to develop a proposed rule in accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act, we have relied upon work conducted over the past several years that has identified opportunities to improve CRA regulations. The team has considered recommendations that the agencies reported to Congress in 2017 following a three-year review of regulations as

---

well as recommendations published by Treasury\textsuperscript{14} and feedback gathered by the Federal Reserve.\textsuperscript{15}

Development of the proposal also was informed by approximately 1,500 comments responding to the OCC’s Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR) published in August 2018\textsuperscript{16} and extensive outreach conducted by the agency over the last 18 months. The interagency rulemaking process for CRA has provided extensive and ample opportunity for all stakeholders to provide comments, voice their concerns, and have their interests heard. That outreach has included meetings and conversations with thousands of stakeholders of all kinds and visits with a range of stakeholders in Atlanta,\textsuperscript{17} Baltimore,\textsuperscript{18} Los Angeles,\textsuperscript{19} New York,\textsuperscript{20} Washington D.C.,\textsuperscript{21} and New Mexico.\textsuperscript{22}

The preponderance of feedback from all of those sources clearly supports modernizing the CRA rules. In fact, 94 percent of the comments received on the ANPR stated that the current


\textsuperscript{16} See Docket OCC-2018-0008


CRA framework lacks objectivity, transparency, and fairness. Ninety-eight percent stated CRA is applied inconsistently, and 88 percent said it is hard to understand.

I believe we need to make CRA regulations stronger, work better for everyone, and encourage more lending, investment, and services by making four basic but important changes to the regulations.

First, the proposal should clarify what counts for CRA credit by articulating clear standards and requiring the agencies to publish an illustrative list of qualifying activities. Second, it should update how banks define their assessment areas by retaining areas surrounding branches and adding additional assessment areas where banks draw large amounts of their deposits. This would maintain the importance of branches in meeting community needs and capture banks with large scale activities outside their facility-based network. Third, the proposed rule should require examiners to evaluate CRA performance more objectively by assessing the distribution of retail lending as well as the impact of CRA activity. It should require examiners to assess what portion (number of units) of a bank’s retail lending is targeted to LMI individuals and LMI areas as well as require examiners to evaluate impact by comparing the dollar value of a bank’s CRA-qualifying activity (lending, investment, and services) with its retail deposits in each assessment area and at the overall bank level. Fourth, the proposal should improve the transparency and timeliness of reporting. Better reporting would allow stakeholders and bankers to gauge CRA performance throughout the evaluation cycle and speed up regulatory decision making.

These changes would help achieve specific benefits and relief that stakeholders have expressed are important, such as:
• Reducing CRA deserts—Current rules neglect rural needs and those of Indian country by focusing CRA evaluations on urban areas where branches are concentrated. The proposal should address this concern by clarifying when banks can receive credit outside their assessment areas and what specific activities serving rural and underserved areas would qualify for CRA credit. Requiring banks to designate additional assessment areas where they take large amounts of deposits also helps serve areas beyond the facility-based assessment areas.

• Removing uncertainty that discourages investment—Subjectivity and lack of transparency leave bankers and stakeholders guessing what qualifies for CRA credit and how much credit they will receive. This limits innovation and restricts the flow of capital to underserved areas. The proposal should fix this problem in part by adopting clear criteria, publishing an illustrative list of qualifying activities, and establishing a clear process for adding to that list.

• Aligning incentives to focus on LMI borrowers—Current rules allow banks to receive too much credit for loans to wealthy borrowers in LMI areas, which can contribute to displacement and harmful gentrification. By refocusing CRA credit primarily on LMI borrowers and LMI areas, the proposal should close this loophole.

• Shortening gaps between performance evaluations and publication—Today’s process results in performance evaluations that can be nearly 1,000 pages long and take months or years to produce. More objective measures and standardized reporting would alleviate this problem.
• Refocusing on long-term activity—Today’s approach only credits activity initiated within an evaluation period. The proposed rule instead should look at the sustained commitment of a bank to meet the credit needs within its communities and reward long-term investment that can help make more meaningful and lasting change.

• Supporting America’s farms and small businesses—The eligible size for loans to receive CRA credit has not been updated in 25 years. Raising the eligible size would help create more jobs and economic opportunity and better support America’s small farms and small business.

• Accommodating different bank sizes and business models—The proposal should provide an opt-in for small banks to choose whether to be evaluated under existing criteria or the revised framework based on their unique business model.

Since 1977, the CRA has been an important tool fighting redlining and encouraging banks to lend and invest trillions of dollars in the communities they serve, including LMI neighborhoods. We have the opportunity to make CRA regulations stronger in ways that will benefit millions of people in the communities these banks serve.

While I believe the proposal will make important strides to improve CRA regulations, it can still be improved by the thoughtful comments of stakeholders following its publication. I am hopeful the proposal will be published soon. I would welcome the opportunity to brief members and their staffs on the rule when it is published.

Small-dollar lending

Millions of Americans rely upon short-term small-dollar credit to make ends meet. Consumers need safe, affordable choices, and banks should be part of that solution. Banks are well-
suited to offer affordable short-term small-dollar installment lending options that can help consumers find a path to more mainstream financial services without trapping them in cycles of debt.

To facilitate banks offering responsible short-term small-dollar installment loans to help meet the credit needs of their customers, the OCC published a bulletin in May 2018 setting out three core principles for these products:

- All bank products should be consistent with safe and sound banking, treat customers fairly, and comply with applicable laws and regulations.
- Banks should effectively manage the risks associated with the products they offer, including credit, operational, compliance, and reputation risks.
- All credit products should be underwritten based on reasonable policies and practices, including guidelines governing the amounts borrowed, frequency of borrowing, and repayment requirements.

The agency’s bulletin also highlighted reasonable policies and practices specific to short-term small-dollar installment lending. While banks initially may not have had the infrastructure to engage in such lending, banks are purchasing loans and loan pools from online lenders and are creating more liquidity for these lenders. Additionally, banks are exploring relationships with lenders offering small dollar loans that align with the sound lending principles discussed in the bulletin. The agency’s position on such alignment remains firm and is not at odds with our current rule-making efforts to establish greater regulatory certainty on the validity of interest rates on loans made by national banks and federal savings associations that are subsequently transferred to others.

Finally, over the course of the past year, the OCC has had discussions with several banks that are considering new small-dollar products. The CFPB’s proposal to amend its Payday Lending Rule, issued in January 2019, could accelerate interest in small-dollar products. However, as commenters
noted in response to the FDIC’s November 2018 request for information, regulatory uncertainty remains. The federal banking agencies are exploring principles-based options to address this uncertainty and to encourage banks to deliver safe, fair, and less expensive short-term credit products that support the long-term financial health of their customers.

**Bank Secrecy Act and Anti-Money Laundering**

The BSA and AML laws and regulations exist to protect our financial system from criminals who would exploit that system for their own illegal purposes or use that system to finance terrorism. While regulators and the industry share a commitment to fighting money laundering and other illegal activities, the process for complying with current BSA/AML laws and regulations has become inefficient and costly. To address today’s threats and to better use the capabilities of modern technology to protect the financial system from illicit activity, it is critical that the BSA/AML regime be updated and enhanced.

The OCC has taken a leadership role in coordinating discussions with the federal financial regulatory agencies, Treasury’s Office of Financial Intelligence, and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) to identify and implement ways to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of BSA/AML regulations, supervision, and examinations, while continuing to meet the requirements of the statute and regulations, support law enforcement, and reduce BSA/AML compliance burden.

The agencies have taken several steps toward this shared goal. In October 2018, the agencies issued a joint statement that clarifies the permissibility of sharing BSA resources among banks with a community focus, less complex operations, and with lower risk profiles for money
laundering or terrorist financing. In December 2018, the agencies issued a joint statement as part of interagency efforts to encourage banks to consider the use of innovative technologies for achieving anti-money laundering compliance. Most recently, in July 2019, the agencies issued a joint statement to clarify and explain our existing risk-focused approach to examining for BSA/AML compliance programs. This statement increases transparency into the existing supervisory approach, with the aim of improving the effectiveness of our examinations and strengthening compliance among our supervised financial institutions.

The OCC also supports legislative changes that would increase the impact and efficiency of BSA/AML regulation and compliance programs. The OCC generally supports changes that would reduce unnecessary industry burden and compliance costs and allow for more effective information sharing related to illicit finance. These changes also could include requiring a regular review of BSA/AML regulations to identify those that could be strengthened or refined to reduce unnecessary burden. The OCC applauds the work that has been done by the House and Senate Committees to support needed BSA/AML reforms.

In addition to our focus on improving the effectiveness and efficiency of BSA/AML regulations, supervision, and examinations, the agencies have issued a joint statement on providing financial services to customers engaged in hemp-related businesses. The Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 removed hemp as a Schedule I controlled substance. The statement provides clarity regarding the legal status of commercial growth and production of hemp and relevant requirements for banks under the BSA and its implementing regulations. In the

statement, the agencies explain that when deciding to provide financial services to hemp-related businesses, banks must comply with applicable BSA regulatory requirements. However, the statement notes that because hemp is no longer a Schedule I controlled substance, banks are not required to file Suspicious Activity Reports on customers solely because they are engaged in the growth or cultivation of hemp in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

**OCC’s Diversity Efforts**

The fulfillment of the agency’s core mission of bank supervision depends on its employment of talented staff with high levels of expertise and experience. The OCC is fully committed to maintaining a competent, highly qualified workforce and recruiting the best, diverse talent available from a variety of sources. The agency is focused on maintaining an inclusive culture and workplace environment with a diversity strategy that focuses on leadership commitment, recruitment, development, retention, work-life balance, and an engaging culture. The OCC has had an agency-wide diversity strategy in place for more than 10 years and regularly aligns those diversity strategic goals with the agency’s strategic plan.

As of September 30, 2019, the participation rate of females in the OCC’s permanent workforce was 44.3 percent, and the participation rate of minorities in the OCC’s workforce was 35.6 percent. The participation rates for African Americans and Asian Americans were 17.6 percent and 9.4 percent, respectively, both above the National Civilian Labor Force (NCLF) rate. The participation rate for Hispanic Americans, at 7.4 percent, is slightly below the NCLF rate. In 2019, a focused effort was launched to uncover any disparities and impediments that may exist in the career cycle of Hispanic Americans at the OCC, with the intent to make substantive recommendations resulting in their full participation at the agency.
The OCC benefits greatly from the input of its seven Employee Network Groups (ENG) that advance special emphasis programs: the Network of Asian Pacific Americans; the Coalition of African American Regulatory Employees; PRIDE (the Gay, Lesbian, Transgender, and Bisexual Employees network group); the Hispanic Organization for Leadership and Advancement; The Women’s Network; Generational Crossroads; and the Veterans Employee Network. These ENGs serve as a resource for mentoring and engagement, and as a collective voice in communicating workplace concerns and providing input to management around diversity and inclusion programs and activities within the OCC. The groups hold an annual leadership forum with the Comptroller and other key agency stakeholders to align individual group objectives with agency strategic priorities pertaining to recruitment, career development, and retention.

The OCC also has a robust recruitment program to attract highly qualified candidates who reflect a cross-section of the national population, particularly for its entry-level assistant national bank examiner positions. The recruitment program features ongoing partnerships with colleges, universities, banking associations, and professional affiliations. These efforts include participating in recruitment activities at Hispanic Serving Institutions, Historically Black Colleges and Universities, as well as outreach to student organizations. The OCC also has recruited on campus at minority-serving institutions and sponsored similar activities at colleges and universities with large female student bodies (60.0 percent or greater). The OCC also participates annually in a wide range of meetings, conferences, and career fairs to develop relationships and gain access to a diverse student applicant pool.

We are particularly pleased that, over the last four fiscal years (2016-2019), the OCC, through the federal Pathways Internship Program, has hired 40 students, of whom 40 percent
were females and 47.5 percent were minorities. We also have hired 37 financial interns, of whom 56.8 percent were females and 32.4 percent were minorities. Over the same time frame, the agency sponsored 97 interns through its National Diversity Internship Program.27

Last summer, the OCC partnered with the District of Columbia’s Department of Employment Services to provide paid summer internships to 80 rising seniors from D.C. high schools. The internships provided these minority students exposure to a professional workplace, career-readiness training, and greater awareness of the range of potential career opportunities in the financial service industry and regulation. The program was well-received by the students and agency staff, and the District of Columbia recognized the OCC’s program as its intern program of the year. The program also gained the attention of Operation HOPE, a national financial literacy organization, which will provide paid positions to some of these students next summer. The OCC will host this program again next year and is offering guidance and support to another federal financial regulator that is working to sponsor a similar program.

The OCC is equally committed to the inclusion of minorities, women, and minority- and women-owned businesses at all levels of the agency’s business activities. Payments to minority- or women-owned businesses represented 40.9 percent of the OCC’s total contractor payments in fiscal year 2019, on target with the five-year average of 40.8 percent.

Section 308 of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) describes goals for preserving and promoting minority depository institutions. The OCC takes numerous actions to achieve these goals, as described in recent OCC testimony.

27 The OCC’s National Diversity Internship Program partners with the following organizations that focus on developing opportunities for minorities and women in the industry: the Hispanic Association of Colleges and Universities; INROADS; Proxtronics Dosimetry; Wire2Net; Minority Access; and The Washington Center.
before the Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Financial Institutions. For example, OCC regularly hosts workshops with minority depository institutions (MDI) and larger institutions to facilitate relationship building, information sharing and successful collaboration opportunities. OCC subject matter experts provide technical assistance to MDIs on various topics, including cybersecurity, legal, accounting, compliance, and safety and soundness issues. The OCC also hosts meetings of its Minority Depository Institutions Advisory Committee to assess the current condition of minority depository institutions, what regulatory steps the OCC could take to fulfill the mandate of section 308, and other issues of concern to OCC-supervised MDIs. The OCC holds bank director workshops throughout the United States that address risk governance, credit risk, compliance risk, and other important banking issues and encourages MDI directors to attend these workshops, waiving participation fees as an incentive. The OCC’s District Community Affairs Officers consult with MDIs on community development, the CRA, and related topics, and the OCC’s External Outreach and Minority Affairs staff consult with MDIs on community development financial institution certification and advise them about other federal resources that support their missions.

**Supporting Responsible Innovation**

Responsible innovation enables a vibrant banking system to meet the evolving needs of consumers, businesses, and communities. It promotes economic opportunity and job creation. When done responsibly, innovation increases consumer choice, improves the delivery of products and services, enhances bank operations, and enables financial institutions—including

---

small and rural banks—to more effectively meet the needs of their customers and communities. Moreover, responsible innovation expands services to unbanked and underbanked consumers and promotes financial inclusion.

Innovation has significantly changed how consumers engage with their financial services providers, and the OCC has several programs and activities underway to support responsible innovation. The OCC supports partnerships between banks and fintech companies that are safe and sound and meet the evolving needs of consumers, businesses, and communities. The OCC’s Office of Innovation conducts outreach and provides technical assistance to banks, fintechs, and other stakeholders by hosting “office hours,” “listening sessions,” and participating in hundreds of meetings, calls, conferences and events.

The innovation office also works to advance awareness and training for OCC staff on emerging trends to foster a culture that is receptive to responsible innovation and develop staff competencies. In addition, staff conducts research to assess the financial services landscape to inform OCC policy and supervisory actions.

Last April, the OCC proposed a voluntary innovation pilot program to support bank testing of activities that could significantly benefit consumers, businesses, and communities, including those that promote financial inclusion. The program is designed to assist banks in those situations where regulatory or supervisory uncertainty may be a barrier to deploying a new product, service, or process, and where early regulatory involvement may promote a clearer understanding of risks and related issues. The OCC invited public comment on its pilot program and is in the process of evaluating the comments we received.

Many fintech companies such as marketplace lenders, payment processors, and custody service providers offer products and services that historically have been offered by banks. Since the early stages of our work, these companies have consistently asked the agency about options to conduct their business on a national scale and their potential to become a national bank. The OCC strongly supports the dual banking system and believes that fintech companies engaged in the business of banking should have the option to conduct their businesses through a national bank charter when it makes sense for their business model. Today, fintech companies may choose to consider a full-service national bank charter to engage in the full array of authorized national bank activities, including accepting deposits, or to apply for a limited purpose charter if they are engaged in a limited range of banking activities. In the future, I expect litigation challenging the OCC’s authority to provide a special purpose national bank charter for fintechs will be favorably resolved so that this additional option will be available to fintechs. Regardless of the particular path that a fintech company chooses, all national banks face rigorous examination and high standards that include capital, liquidity, compliance, financial inclusion, and consumer protection standards.

**Challenges Facing the Federal Banking System**

A core part of the OCC’s mission is to identify, assess, and communicate risks facing the federal banking system. The OCC closely monitors risks to the federal banking system on a continuous basis and publishes a summary of risks facing banks twice a year in our *Semiannual Risk Perspective.*[^30] Our next report will be issued later this month, and highlights the state of credit, operational, compliance, and interest rate risks. The specific risks highlighted in each

report evolve in the context of changing economic, technological, and bank operating developments.

Credit risk has increased as a result of years of growth, easing underwriting standards, risk layering, and higher credit concentrations. Despite the generally solid profitability trends and performance of banks, total loan growth has softened in some key categories. For example, ongoing weaknesses in residential and commercial real estate slowed down overall lending. Recent changes in key interest rates may provide potential for renewed loan growth, but we are monitoring lending closely. Credit risk remains within the tolerance of most banks’ risk appetites but is accumulating so we are urging banks to prepare for a cyclical change while credit performance is strong. We are monitoring banks’ adherence to prudent credit risk management practices, which include identifying borrowers who are vulnerable to reduced cash flows if economic growth slows and maintaining robust credit control functions, particularly credit review, problem loan identification and workout, collections, and collateral management.

Finally, we are closely monitoring prices for agricultural commodities that have led to increased farm carryover debt for agricultural borrowers. Recent flooding and other stresses also could adversely affect some borrowers and segments of the farming industry and in rural America.

Other risks we continue to monitor closely include interest rate risk as volatility has returned in market rates; operational risk which involves the need to protect technology systems from ongoing cyber threats and the risks associated with increasing reliance on third-party services; and strategic risks stemming from competition from non-depository financial institutions and the use of innovative and evolving technology.
This next edition of our report also includes a discussion of cybersecurity as a risk that regulators and industry should closely monitor. Malicious external and internal actors use a variety of techniques to circumvent bank cybersecurity controls, and target not only bank staff and processes, but also bank customers and third parties. While banks have generally implemented appropriate security programs, continued vigilance is necessary to adapt to evolving cyber threats. As a result, it is critical that banks implement and maintain appropriate security tools and internal controls to protect their operations and sensitive data. Specifically, we expect banks to maintain basic cybersecurity controls including effective inventory management of information technology systems, strong configuration standards, and comprehensive patch management programs. Strong authentication programs, use of data encryption, and processes to continually test and validate the effectiveness of controls will also assist banks in mitigating potential vulnerabilities and assist them in their preparedness for a cybersecurity stress event.

By being transparent about the risks that we observe facing the banking system and publishing our findings, we believe the industry and bank management are better prepared to identify and manage the specific risks that may affect their institutions.

Conclusion

While the condition of the federal banking system remains strong, we stay vigilant in monitoring economic conditions, bank activities, and emerging risks. We also are advancing several priorities to ensure that banks appropriately invest in the communities that they serve and that our regulatory requirements are properly calibrated. We welcome the Committee’s support and interest in these activities.