The attached interim final rule with a request for comments regarding Risk-Based Capital Guidelines; Capital Adequacy Guidelines; Capital Maintenance: Interim Capital Treatment of Consolidated Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Program Assets was published in the Federal Register on October 1, 2003.

The related Press Release, issued on September 12, 2003, can be accessed on our website at www.ots.treas.gov.
Arizona and Texas to the list of regulated areas in §301.89–3(f), either because they were found during detection and delineating surveys to contain a bunted wheat kernel, or because they fell within the 3-mile-wide buffer zone around fields or areas affected with Karnal bunt. We also removed certain individual fields and affected with Karnal bunt. We also removed certain individual fields and because they were found during detection and delineating surveys to contain a bunted wheat kernel, or because they had been used to produce Karnal bunt host crops within the last 5 years, or because they had been used to produce Karnal bunt host crops in 1 or more years following initial regulation and the crops have been tested and found free of Karnal bunt. The interim rule was necessary to help prevent the spread of Karnal bunt into noninfected areas of the United States and to relieve restrictions that are no longer warranted.

Comments on the interim rule were required to be received on or before December 2, 2002. We received two comments by that date. The comments were from State departments of agriculture. Both commenters supported the interim rule. However, one commenter stated that it was important forAPHIS to communicate the deregulation of the areas as described in the interim rule through immediate updates to all Karnal bunt fact sheets, maps, manuals, Web sites, and other resources for information.

Currently, APHIS has procedures in place for ensuring that this type of information is updated promptly. APHIS uses its Internet site, on which we make available materials such as press releases, maps, and fact sheets, to communicate the type of information noted by the commenter, and such updates are routinely communicated to APHIS personnel involved in regulatory programs. While it is perhaps most important that the deregulated areas be removed from the list of regulated areas in §301.89–3(f), we do make every effort to ensure that related materials such as fact sheets, etc., are updated promptly.

Therefore, for the reasons given in the interim rule and in this document, we are adopting the interim rule as a final rule without change.

This action also affirms the information contained in the interim rule concerning Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Executive Orders 12372 and 12088, and the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Further, for this action, the Office of Management and Budget has waived its review under Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 301

Agricultural commodities, Plant diseases and pests, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

Accordingly, we are adopting as a final rule, without change, the interim rule that amended 7 CFR part 301 and that was published at 67 FR 61975–61980 on October 3, 2002.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7701–7772; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Section 301.75–15 also issued under Sec. 204, Title II, Pub. L. 106–113, 113 Stat. 1301A–293; sections 301.75–15 and 301.75–16 also issued under Sec. 203, Title II, Pub. L. 106–224, 114 Stat. 400 (7 U.S.C. 1421 note).

Done in Washington, DC, this 25th day of September, 2003.

Peter Fernandez,
Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 03–24874 Filed 9–30–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P
consolidated ABCP program assets from their risk-weighted asset bases for the purpose of calculating their risk-based capital ratios. Sponsoring banking organizations must continue to hold risk-based capital against all other risk exposures arising in connection with ABCP programs, including direct credit substitutes, recourse obligations, residual interests, long-term liquidity facilities, and loans, in accordance with each agency’s existing risk-based capital standards. In addition, any minority interests in ABCP programs that are consolidated as a result of FIN 46 are to be excluded from sponsoring banking organizations’ minority interest component of tier 1 capital and, hence, from total risk-based capital.

This interim capital treatment will be applicable only for the regulatory reporting periods ending September 30 and December 31, 2003, and March 31, 2004. In addition, this interim capital treatment does not alter the accounting rules for balance sheet consolidation nor does it affect the denominator of the tier 1 leverage capital ratio calculation, which continues to be based primarily on on-balance sheet assets as reported under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Thus, as a result of FIN 46, banking organizations must include all assets of consolidated ABCP programs in on-balance sheet assets for purposes of calculating the tier 1 leverage capital ratio.

The agencies also have issued a related notice of proposed rulemaking published elsewhere in today’s Federal Register, in which the agencies are soliciting comments on a permanent risk-based capital treatment for the risks arising from ABCP programs.

DATES: This interim final rule is effective October 1, 2003. Comments on the interim final rule must be received by November 17, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to:

OCC: You should send comments to the Public Information Room, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Mailstop 1–5, Attention: Docket No. 03–21, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219. Due to delays in the delivery of paper mail in the Washington area and at the OCC, commenters are encouraged to submit comments by fax or e-mail. Comments may be sent by fax to (202) 874–4448, or by e-mail to regs.comments@occ.treas.gov. You can make an appointment to inspect and photocopy the comments by calling the Public Information Room at (202) 874–5043.

Board: Comments should refer to Docket No. R–1156 and may be mailed to Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20551. However, because paper mail in the Washington area and at the Board of Governors is subject to delay, please consider submitting your comments by e-mail to regs.comments@federalreserve.gov, or faxing them to the Office of the Secretary at 202/452–3819 or 202/452–3102. Members of the public may inspect comments in Room MP–500 of the Martin Building between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays pursuant to § 261.12, except as provided in § 261.14, of the Board’s Rules Regarding Availability of Information, 12 CFR 261.12 and 261.14.

FDIC: Written comments should be addressed to Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429. Comments also may be hand delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on E Street), on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m. Comments may be inspected and photocopied in the FDIC Public Information Center, Room 100, 801 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on business days.

OTS: Send comments to Regulation Comments, Chief Counsel’s Office, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552, Attention: No. 2003–48.

Delivery: Hand deliver comments to the Guard’s Desk, East Lobby Entrance, 1700 G Street, NW., from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. on business days, Attention: Regulation Comments, Chief Counsel’s Office, Attention: No. 2003–48.


E-Mail: Send e-mails to regs.comments@ots.treas.gov, Attention: No. 2003–48 and include your name and telephone number. Due to temporary disruptions in mail service in the Washington, DC area, commenters are encouraged to send comments by fax or e-mail, if possible.

Availability of comments: OTS will post comments and the related index on the OTS Internet Site at http://www.ots.treas.gov. In addition, you may inspect comments at the Public Reading Room, 1700 G Street, NW., by appointment. To make an appointment for access, call (202) 906–5922, send an e-mail to public.info@ots.treas.gov, or send a facsimile transmission to (202) 906–7755. If the materials you would like to inspect to assist us in serving you.) We schedule appointments on business days between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. In most cases, appointments will be available the business day after the date we receive a request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


OTS: Michael D. Solomon, Senior Program Manager for Capital Policy, (202) 906–5654, David W. Riley, Project Manager, Supervision Policy, (202) 906–6669; or Teresa A. Scott, Counsel (Banking and Finance), (202) 906–6478, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

An asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) program typically is a program through which a banking organization provides funding to its corporate customers by sponsoring and administering a bankruptcy-remote special purpose entity that purchases asset pools from, or extends loans to, those customers. The asset pools in an ABCP program may include, for example, trade receivables, consumer loans, or asset-backed securities. The ABCP program raises cash to provide funding to the banking organization’s customers through the issuance of commercial paper into the market. Typically, the sponsoring banking organization provides liquidity and credit enhancements to the ABCP program, which aids the program in obtaining high quality credit ratings that
facilitate the issuance of the commercial paper.\footnote{For the purposes of this rulemaking, a banking organization is considered the sponsor of an ABCP program if it establishes the program, approves the sellers' participation in the program; approves the asset pools to be purchased by the program; or administers the ABCP program by monitoring the assets, arranging for debt placement, compiling monthly reports, or ensuring compliance with the program documents and with the program's credit and investment policy.}

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued interpretation No. 46, “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities” (FIN 46), requiring the consolidation of variable interest entities (VIEs) onto the balance sheets of companies deemed to be the primary beneficiaries of those entities.\footnote{Under FIN 46, the FASB broadened the criteria for determining when one entity is deemed to have a controlling financial interest in another entity and, therefore, when an entity must consolidate another entity in its financial statements. An entity generally does not need to be analyzed under FIN 46 if it is designed to have “adequate capital” as described in FIN 46 and its shareholders control the entity with their share votes and are allocated its profits and losses. If the entity fails these criteria, it typically is deemed a VIE and each stakeholder in the entity (a group that can include, but is not limited to, legal entity holders, creditors, sponsors, guarantors, and servicers) must assess whether it is the entity’s “primary beneficiary” using the FIN 46 criteria. This analysis considers whether the entity exists by evaluating the entity’s risks and rewards. The stakeholder who holds the majority of the entity’s risks or rewards is the primary beneficiary and must consolidate the VIE.}

The agencies believe that sponsoring banking organizations generally face limited risk exposure to ABCP programs, which generally is confined to the credit enhancements and liquidity facility arrangements that they provide to these programs. In addition, operational controls and structural provisions, along with overcollateralization or other credit enhancements provided by the companies that sell assets into ABCP programs can further mitigate the risk to which sponsoring banking organizations are exposed.

The agencies believe that it is appropriate to provide an interim risk-based capital treatment that permits sponsoring banking organizations to exclude from risk-weighted assets, on a temporary basis, assets held by ABCP programs that must be consolidated onto the balance sheets of sponsoring banking organizations as a result of FIN 46.

The period during which the interim rule is in effect will provide the agencies with additional time to develop the appropriate risk-based capital requirements for banking organizations' sponsorship of ABCP programs and to receive comments from the industry on a related proposal also published in today’s Federal Register.

II. Interim Risk-Based Capital and Regulatory Reporting Treatment

The agencies are amending their risk-based capital standards to permit sponsoring banking organizations to exclude the assets of ABCP programs that must be consolidated under FIN 46 from risk-weighted assets when they calculate their tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios for the quarters ending September 30, 2003, December 31, 2003, and March 31, 2004. Sponsoring banking organizations must continue to assess risk-based capital against any credit enhancements or long-term liquidity facilities that they provide to such ABCP programs. For example, banking organizations that sponsor ABCP programs generally assign any investment-grade equivalent credit enhancements that they provide to these programs to the 100 percent risk weight category.\footnote{Under the agencies’ risk-based capital standards, banking organizations may, subject to supervisory approval, use their internal risk ratings system to assess the capital quality of non-rated direct credit substitutes provided to ABCP programs in order to determine the appropriate risk-based capital charge. Direct credit substitutes provided to ABCP programs that are the equivalent of non-investment grade are assigned to either the 200 percent risk weight category or effectively deducted from risk-based capital.}

Currently provided to ABCP programs are structured with a maturity of less than one year and, under the agencies’ current risk-based capital rules, do not incur a capital charge.

Under this interim rule, for the third and fourth quarters of 2003, as well as for the first quarter of 2004, when reporting items 34 through 43 on Schedule RC–R (Regulatory Capital) of the Call Report and Schedule HC–R (Regulatory Capital) of the FR Y–9C, any consolidated ABCP program assets resulting from application of FIN 46 are to be reported in column A, “Totals (from Schedule RC),” as well as in column B, “Items not Subject to Risk-Weighting.” With respect to the TFR, thrifts should not include the subject program assets in any of the lines for assets to risk weight on Schedule CCR that comprise the subtotal on line CCR64.

Reporting in this manner will exclude the ABCP program assets from incorporation into the calculation of the risk-based capital ratios reported by sponsoring banking organizations. Under FIN 46, sponsoring banking organizations should continue to report the notional amounts of any credit enhancements and liquidity facilities provided to ABCP programs in the risk-based capital schedule line items in which these exposures would be properly reported as of the June 30, 2003 reporting date. In addition, credit enhancements and liquidity facilities that sponsoring banking organizations provide to their ABCP programs are to be reported in Memorandum items 3.a.(1) and 3.b.(1) of Schedule RC–S (Servicing, Securitization, and Asset Sale Activities) of the Call Report and Schedule HC–S (Servicing, Securitization, and Asset Sale Activities) of the FR Y–9C consolidated reports, respectively. Thrifts should include any related credit enhancements on Schedule CC, lines CC455, CC465, or CC468, as appropriate.

In addition, any minority interests in ABCP programs that are consolidated as a result of FIN 46 are to be excluded from sponsoring banking organizations’ minority interest component of tier 1 capital and, hence, also from total risk-based capital. Exclusion from capital of any minority interests associated with consolidated ABCP programs is required when the programs’ assets are not included in an organization’s risk-weighted asset base and, thus, are not assessed a risk-based capital charge.

When sponsoring banking organizations report item 6, “Qualifying minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries,” of
Includable Consolidated Subsidiaries, the reported tier 1 leverage capital ratio of sponsoring banking organizations because the equity in ABCP programs generally is small relative to the capital levels of sponsoring banking organizations. The agencies seek comment on all aspects of the interim rule. In a related notice of proposed rulemaking published elsewhere in today’s Federal Register, the agencies are soliciting comments on the removal of the April 1, 2004 sunset provision contained in this interim final rule so that assets of ABCP programs consolidated under FIN 46 and any associated minority interest would continue to be excluded from risk-weighted assets and tier 1 capital, respectively, of sponsoring banking organizations for purposes of calculating the risk-based capital ratios.

Thus, the agencies also have proposed that liquidity facilities with an original maturity of one year or less that banking organizations provide to ABCP programs be converted to on-balance sheet credit equivalent amounts using the 20 percent credit conversion factor (as opposed to the existing zero percent credit conversion factor) and assigned to the appropriate risk weight category according to the underlying assets or obligor, after consideration of any guarantees or collateral, or external credit ratings if the risk exposure is an asset- or mortgage-backed security. In general, this capital requirement on short-term liquidity facilities would be in addition to existing risk-based capital requirements for credit enhancements provided to ABCP programs.

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the agencies have determined that this interim rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities in accordance with the spirit and purposes of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. In addition, the interim rule would reduce regulatory burden with respect to the agencies’ risk-based capital standards.
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Administrative Procedure Act

Pursuant to section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, the agencies find good cause for issuing this interim rule in advance of the receipt of comments from interested parties. The agencies believe that it is important to make this interim final rule effective before banking organizations must calculate their regulatory risk-based capital ratios at the end of the third quarter 2003. If ABCP program assets are consolidated under FIN 46, then the resulting capital requirement might not be commensurate with the risk inherent in sponsoring banking organizations’ involvement with such programs. The agencies are seeking public comment on the interim final rule and, in a related notice of proposed rulemaking, are seeking comment on an alternative risk-based capital treatment for the risk exposures arising from such activity.

In addition, under section 553(d)(3) of the Administrative Procedure Act, an agency may issue an interim rule or a final rule without delaying its effective date for 30 days from the date of publication if the agency finds good cause and publishes its finding with the rule. The agencies have determined that the issuance of this interim rule without delaying its effective date for 30 days from the date of publication will provide certainty for banking organizations in calculating their regulatory capital ratios for the third quarter 2003.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The agencies have determined that this interim rule does not involve a collection of information pursuant to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

OCC. Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–4 (Unfunded Mandates Act) requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating a rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, section 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act also requires an agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule. This interim rule is designed to temporarily offset the effect on risk-based capital ratios of FIN 46 with

Schedule RC–R of the Call Report and Schedule HC–R of the FR Y–9C, they should exclude the amount of minority interest associated with such consolidated ABCP programs. With respect to the TFR, when sponsoring savings associations report on line CCR125, “Minority Interest in Includable Consolidated Subsidiaries,” of Schedule CCR, they should exclude the amount of minority interest associated with such consolidated ABCP programs.

This interim risk-based capital (and the associated regulatory capital reporting) treatment will expire on April 1, 2004. If the agencies have not implemented an alternative risk-based capital approach for banking organizations that sponsor ABCP programs prior to the expiration of the interim treatment, then sponsoring banking organizations will be required to subject ABCP program assets that are consolidated under FIN 46 to the applicable risk-based capital treatment for on-balance sheet assets. The agencies reserve the authority to require sponsoring banking organizations to hold an alternative amount of risk-based capital against ABCP program assets at any time during the period this interim treatment is in effect in the event that an agency determines that the application of these risk-based capital requirements does not adequately address the risks present in a sponsoring banking organization’s involvement with an ABCP program.

This interim risk-based capital treatment has no bearing on the accounting requirements as established by GAAP or the manner in which banking organizations report consolidated on-balance sheet assets. In addition, the interim capital treatment does not affect the denominator of the tier 1 leverage capital ratio calculation, which will continue to be based primarily on on-balance sheet assets as reported under GAAP. Thus, in accordance with FIN 46, banking organizations must include all assets of consolidated ABCP programs in on-balance sheet assets for purposes of calculating the tier 1 leverage capital ratio. In addition, in contrast to many other cases where minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries may be included as a component of tier 1 capital and, hence, incorporated into the tier 1 leverage capital ratio calculation, minority interest related to sponsoring banking organizations’ ABCP program assets consolidated as a result of FIN 46 are not included in tier 1 capital. Thus, the reported tier 1 leverage capital ratio for a sponsoring banking organization will be lower than if only its ABCP program assets were consolidated. However, the agencies anticipate that the exclusion of minority interests related to consolidated ABCP program assets will not significantly affect the tier 1 leverage capital ratio of sponsoring banking organizations according to the underlying assets or obligor, after consideration of any guarantees or collateral, or external credit ratings if the risk exposure is an asset- or mortgage-backed security. In general, this capital requirement on short-term liquidity facilities would be in addition to existing risk-based capital requirements for credit enhancements provided to ABCP programs.
respect to ABCP programs. The OCC has determined that this interim rule will not result in expenditures by state, local, or tribal governments, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. Accordingly, Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Act does not require the OCC to prepare a budgetary impact statement for this rule.

OTS: Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–4 (Unfunded Mandates Act) requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating a rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, section 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act also requires an agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule. OTS has determined that this interim rule will not result in expenditures by state, local, or tribal governments, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. Accordingly, Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act does not require the OTS to prepare a budgetary impact statement for this rule.

Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley (GLB) Act requires the Federal banking agencies to use “plain language” in all proposed and final rules published after January 1, 2000. In light of this requirement, the agencies have sought to present the interim final rule in a simple and straightforward manner. The agencies invite comments on whether there are additional steps the agencies could take to make the rule easier to understand.

List of Subjects

12 CFR Part 3
Administrative practice and procedure, Capital, National banks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Risk.

12 CFR Part 208
Accounting, Agriculture, Banks, banking, Confidential business information, Crime, Currency, Federal Reserve System, Mortgages, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

12 CFR Part 225
Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, banking, Federal Reserve System, Holding companies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.
(k) Other variable interest entities subject to consolidation. (1) If a bank that is required to consolidated the assets of a variable interest entity under generally accepted accounting principles, the bank must assess risk-based capital charge based on the appropriate risk weight of the consolidated assets in accordance with section 3(a) of this appendix A. In such case, direct credit substitutes and recourse obligations (including residual interests), and loans that sponsoring banks provide to such asset-backed commercial paper programs are not subject to any capital charge under section 4 of this appendix A.

(2) This section 4(k) of this appendix A is effective from July 1, 2003 until April 1, 2004.

* * * * *


John D. Hawke, Jr.
Comptroller of the Currency.

Federal Reserve System

12 CFR Chapter II

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the joint preamble, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System amends parts 208 and 225 of chapter II of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 208—MEMBERSHIP OF STATE BANKING INSTITUTIONS IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM (REGULATION H)

1. The authority citation for part 208 continues to read as follows:


2. In Appendix A to part 208, the following amendments are made:

a. In section II.A.1.c., Minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, two new sentences are added at the end of the paragraph.

b. In section III.B—

i. In paragraph 3.a., paragraphs xiv. and xvi. are redesignated xv. and xvi.;

ii. In paragraph 3.a., a new paragraph xiv., Sponsor, is added; and

iii. A new paragraph 6 is added at the end of section II. B.

Appendix A to Part 208—Capital Adequacy Guidelines for State Member Banks: Risk-Based Measure

1. The authority citation for part 208 continues to read as follows:


2. In Appendix A to part 225, the following amendments are made:

a. In section II.A.1.c., Minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, two new sentences are added at the end of the paragraph.

b. In section III.B—

i. In paragraph 3.a., paragraphs xiv. and xvi. are redesignated xv. and xvi.;

ii. In paragraph 3.a., a new paragraph xiv., Sponsor, is added; and

iii. A new paragraph 6 is added at the end of section III.B.

Appendix A to Part 225—Capital Adequacy Guidelines for Bank Holding Companies: Risk-Based Measure

1. The authority citation for part 225 continues to read as follows:

program assets, the banking organization must apply the appropriate risk-based capital charge against any risk exposures of the organization arising in connection with such ABCP programs, including direct credit substitutes, recourse obligations, residual interests, liquidity facilities, and loans, in accordance with sections III.B.3., III.C. and III.D. of this appendix.

C. This capital treatment for consolidated assets of certain ABCP programs will be effective from July 1, 2003 and will expire on April 1, 2004.

* * * * *


Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary of the Board.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
12 CFR Chapter III

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the joint preamble, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation amends part 325 of chapter III of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 325—CAPITAL MAINTENANCE

1. The authority citation for part 325 continues to read as follows:


2. In Appendix A to part 325, the following amendments are made:

a. In section I.A.1.iii, the four undesignated paragraphs are redesignated (a), (b), (c), and (d), and a new paragraph (e) is added to that section.

b. In section II.B—

i. In paragraph 5.a., paragraphs (15) and (16) are redesignated (16) and (17);

ii. In paragraph 5.b., a new paragraph 15, Sponsor, is added; and

iii. A new paragraph 6 is added at the end of section II.B.

Appendix A to Part 325—Statement of Policy on Risk-Based Capital

* * * * *

1. * * * *

A. * * * *

1. * * * *

iii. * * * *

(e) Minority interests in consolidated asset-backed commercial paper programs (as defined in section II.B.6. of this appendix) that are sponsored by a bank are not to be included in the bank’s tier 1 or total capital base if the bank excludes the consolidated assets of such programs from risk-weighted assets pursuant to section II.B.6. of this appendix. This capital treatment for minority interests in consolidated asset-backed commercial paper programs will be effective from July 1, 2003 and will expire on April 1, 2004.

* * * * *

(15) Sponsor means a bank that establishes an asset-backed commercial paper program; approves the sellers permitted to participate in the program; approves the asset pools to be purchased by the program; or administers the asset-backed commercial paper program by monitoring the assets, arranging for debt placement, compiling monthly reports, or ensuring compliance with the program documents and with the program’s credit and investment policy.

* * * * *

6. Asset-backed commercial paper programs. a. An asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) program typically is a program through which a bank provides funding to its corporate customers by sponsoring and administering a bankruptcy-remote special purpose entity that purchases asset pools from, or extends loans to, the bank’s customers. The ABCP program raises the cash to provide the funding through the issuance of commercial paper in the market.

b. A bank that qualifies as a primary beneficiary and must consolidate an ABCP program that is defined as a variable interest entity under generally accepted accounting principles may exclude the consolidated ABCP program assets from risk-weighted assets provided that the bank is the sponsor of the consolidated ABCP program. If a bank excludes such consolidated ABCP program assets, the bank must asset assess the appropriate risk based capital charge against any risk exposures of the bank arising in connection with such ABCP programs, including direct credit substitutes, recourse obligations, residual interests, liquidity facilities, and loans, in accordance with sections II.B.5., II.C., and II.D. of this appendix.

c. This capital treatment for consolidated assets of certain ABCP programs will be effective from July 1, 2003 and will expire on April 1, 2004.

* * * * *

By order of the Board of Directors.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 5th day of September 2003.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Thrift Supervision

12 CFR Chapter V

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set out in the preamble, part 567 of chapter V of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 567—CAPITAL

1. The authority citation for part 567 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1462, 1462a, 1463, 1464, 1467a, 1828 (note).

2. Section 567.1 is amended by adding a definition of “asset backed commercial paper program” to read as follows:

§ 567.1 Definitions

* * * * *

Asset backed commercial paper program. The term asset backed commercial paper program (ABCP) means a program that issues commercial paper backed assets or exposures held in a bankruptcy-remote special purpose entity. The term sponsor of an ABCP means a savings association that either:

(1) Establishes an ABCP program;

(2) Approves the sellers permitted to participate in the program;

(3) Approves the asset pools to be purchased by the program; or

(4) Administers the ABCP by monitoring the assets, arranging for debt placement, compiling monthly reports, or ensuring compliance with the program documents and with the program’s credit and investment policy.

* * * * *

3. Section 567.5 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(1)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 567.5 Components of capital.

(a) * * * *(1) * * * *

(iii) Minority interests in the equity accounts of subsidiaries that are fully consolidated. However, minority interests in consolidated ABCP programs sponsored by a savings association are excluded from the association’s core capital or total capital base if the consolidated assets are excluded from risk-weighted assets pursuant to § 567.6 (a)(3). This capital treatment for minority interests in consolidated ABCP programs will be effective from July 1, 2003 to April 1, 2004.

* * * * *

4. Amend § 567.6 by adding new paragraphs (a)(3) and (4) to read as follows:

§ 567.6 Risk-based capital credit risk-weight categories.

(a) * * * *

(3) Asset backed commercial paper programs. (i) A savings association that qualifies as a primary beneficiary and must consolidate an ABCP program that is defined as a variable interest entity
under generally accepted accounting principles may exclude the consolidated ABCP program assets from risk-weighted assets, provided that the savings association is the sponsor of the ABCP.

(ii) If a savings association excludes such consolidated ABCP program assets from risk-weighted assets, the savings association must assess the appropriate risk-based capital requirement against any risk exposures of the institution arising in connection with such ABCP programs, including direct credit substitutes and recourse obligations, residual interests, liquidity facilities, and loans, in accordance with paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) and (b) of this section.

(iii) If a savings association either elects not to exclude consolidated ABCP program assets from its risk-weighted assets in accordance with paragraph (a)(3)(i) of this section, or otherwise is not permitted to exclude consolidated ABCP program assets, the savings association must assess a risk-based capital charge based on the appropriate risk weight of the consolidated ABCP program assets in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section. Direct credit substitutes and recourse obligations (including residual interests), and loans that sponsoring savings associations provide to ABCP programs are not subject to any capital charge under paragraphs (a)(2) and (b) of this section.

(iv) This capital treatment for consolidated assets of certain ABCP programs is effective from July 1, 2003 to April 1, 2004.

(4) Other variable interest entities subject to consolidation. (i) A savings association that is required to consolidate the assets of a variable interest entity under generally accepted accounting principles must assess and eligible capital charge based on the appropriate risk weight of the consolidated assets in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section. Direct credit substitutes and recourse obligations (including residual interests), and loans that sponsoring savings associations provide to ABCP programs are not subject to any capital charge under paragraphs (a)(2) and (b) of this section.

(ii) This capital treatment for other variable interest entities subject to consolidation will be effective from July 1, 2003 to April 1, 2004.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

James E. Gilleran,
Director.
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NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION
12 CFR Parts 702, 704, 712, 723, 742
Prompt Corrective Action; Corporate Credit Unions; Credit Union Service Organizations; Member Business Loans; Regulatory Flexibility Program

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NCUA is amending its member business loan (MBL) regulations to provide greater flexibility to credit unions to meet the loan needs of their members within statutory limits and appropriate safety and soundness parameters. Major changes include: (1) Reducing construction and development loan equity requirements; (2) allowing RegFLEX credit unions to make their own decisions whether to require personal guarantees by principals; (3) allowing well-capitalized credit unions to make unsecured MBLs within certain limits; (4) providing that purchases of nonmember loans and nonmember participation interests do not count against a credit union’s aggregate MBL limit, subject to an application and approval process; (5) allowing 100% financing on certain business purpose loans secured by vehicles; (6) providing that loans to credit unions and credit union service organizations (CUSOs) are not MBLs for purposes of the rule; and (7) simplifying MBL documentation requirements. Other provisions in the MBL regulation are simplified and unnecessary provisions are removed. In addition, NCUA is amending the prompt corrective action (PCA) rule regarding the risk weighting of MBLs and the CUSO rule to permit CUSOs to originate business loans.

DATES: This rule is effective October 31, 2003.

ADDRESSES: National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David M. Marquis, Director, Office of Examination and Insurance, at the above address or telephone (703) 518–6360; Robert M. Fenner, General Counsel, or Chrisanthy J. Loizos, Staff Attorney, Office of General Counsel, at the above address or telephone (703) 518–6540.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

On March 27, 2003, the NCUA Board issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to amend the MBL rule and other rules as they relate to business lending. 68 FR 16450, Apr. 4, 2003. In the proposed rule, the Board provided some parity for federal credit unions (FCUs) with federally insured, state-chartered credit unions (FISCUs) that are exempt from NCUA’s MBL rule because the Board had determined that their chartering states had developed MBL rules that minimize risk and accomplish the overall objectives of NCUA’s rule. The parity provisions in the proposed rule addressed construction and development loan equity requirements, personal guarantees by principals, and unsecured MBLs. The proposed rule also revised certain provisions that have created unnecessary regulatory burden and clarified certain provisions that have caused confusion. These proposed amendments related to: the dollar amount that triggers compliance with the rule, the loans to one borrower limit, the aggregate MBL limit, loan-to-value (LTV) requirements, MBL documentation requirements, and the loan loss reserve requirements. The Board also proposed that credit unions that purchase participation interests in MBLs made to credit union members need not count the purchase against the credit union’s own limit. Finally, the proposed rule expanded the current standard risk-based net worth (RBNW) component for MBLs in the PCA rule and authorized CUSOs to originate business loans.

In the preamble to the proposed rule, the Board noted that the proposed amendments to the MBL rule would allow credit unions greater opportunities to meet the small business loan needs of their members without creating undue risk to the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund. The Board cautions, however, that MBLs are not suitable for all credit unions. Credit union management must demonstrate a higher standard in planning, policies, procedures, controls, monitoring, credit risk, and diversification to safely establish a long-term strategy in member business lending.

B. Comments

General

NCUA received three hundred and ninety timely comment letters on the proposed rule. NCUA staff, however,