Introduction to Information Technology

(This section substantially repeats the information provided in Section 341 of the OTS Thrift Activities Handbook.)

Savings associations are becoming increasingly dependent on the use of information technology (IT). Such dependence presents risks to the financial condition and operating performance of the institution that management and the board of directors must effectively manage. Accordingly, in a risk-focused supervision framework, examiners must consider the risks associated with information technology in evaluating the institution’s trust and asset management activities and the effectiveness of the risk management process. Significant negative findings should be referred to the OTS regional office and the manager of the IT examination function.

Increasingly, savings associations are focusing on opportunities presented by electronic services, the Internet and the World Wide Web in an effort to remain competitive, improve customer service and reduce operating costs. Consequently, the electronic environment and technology employed by savings associations in connection with the products and services it offers is continually and rapidly evolving. Whether the institution’s deployment of technology is limited to the use of personal computers (PCs) or has been expanded to include transactional capabilities over the Internet or account aggregation services for customers, the rapid pace of change in technology calls for increased examiner attention to the IT function.

Regardless of the level of sophistication, risks are inherent in all electronic capabilities. Threats can come from both internal and external sources. Outside hackers, disgruntled employees and inadvertent errors can adversely affect IT reliability. For instance, unauthorized parties may alter an information-only World Wide Web site used for advertising purposes. Electronic mail containing confidential or proprietary information may be accessed or distributed in error. Unauthorized parties might access networked systems that are directly connected to a savings association’s main operations database. If these risks are not recognized and the subsequent problems promptly detected and addressed, they can lead to significant monetary and reputational losses for a savings association.

Operating Environment

Savings associations have a number of choices available to meet their constantly changing and evolving information system and technology needs. Most savings associations use one or more of the following sources to deploy and operate systems and technology:

- Personal computers and local and wide area networks
- An in-house computer center and client server system
- Outsourced vendors

A savings association’s decision to select the appropriate information technology strategy can depend on several factors:
- In-house expertise;
- Capital to acquire the necessary resources;
- Facilities to house the resources;
- Cost of outsourcing to vendors; and
- Management’s ability and willingness to use information technology to build a competitive advantage within a safe, sound and secure infrastructure.

**Personal Computers and Local and Wide Area Networks**

The personal computer has become a prominent tool in today’s business environment. It is used in information processing in either a stand-alone or network arrangement. Local and wide area networks of PCs have offered substantial benefits in productivity and information access. Institutions growing use of PCs to deploy new technology is dependent on a network environment. The electronic network facilitates interaction between the savings association and the users (staff and customers). Telephone banking, PC banking, automated teller machines, automatic bill payments, and automated clearinghouse systems for direct deposit or payment, are familiar examples of existing and evolving services that savings associations can offer to their customers through an electronic network. Such access, however, also means that those control procedures, previously limited to the central operations, must be reapplied and extended to the PC user level.

Basic controls and supervision of PCs often have not been introduced or expected at the PC user level. The technological advantages, expediency and cost benefits of the PC have been the primary focus. Recognition of the increased exposure has not kept up with the demand for expanded information processing power.

While each PC requires certain operational type controls such as physical security (lock and key), logical security (password) and file backup, the more pronounced risks involve those operations using PCs as stand-alone processors.

PC users frequently engage in program development directly on their desktop computers. This may involve the original creation of a software program or the customization of existing routines from vendor software. With both methods, adequate control techniques for the programming, testing and documentation are necessary to ensure the integrity of the software and the production of accurate data.

PC users can also perform other functions separate from any centralized operating controls. For example, users can download and manipulate information from main databases. They can also originate data. Each of these activities can create information that management will use in making decisions that affect corporate strategies and customer relationships. Therefore, the evolution of the PC-based system has not eliminated the need for adequate operating controls. Rather, the focus of control was shifted to the PC user level.

**In-house Computer Centers and Client Server Systems**

In-house computer centers vary in size and complexity, type and number of data processing professionals, number and types of applications processed, transaction volume, and processing deadlines. Computer equipment may vary in size from large “mainframe” to smaller microcomputer systems. For example, in-house information systems used to generate revenues, such as loan origination systems, are frequently operated on microcomputer-based systems. Software for in-house computer systems may be purchased or licensed from outside vendors or developed internally.
Less expensive and faster computers have resulted in the emergence of client server technology. While stand-alone mainframe or personal computers make it difficult to share information with other information systems, client server technology allows a savings association to link multiple computers together to provide enough power to allocate data processing capabilities to a network. High-speed data transmission and network file servers are common characteristics in a client server computing environment.

**Outsourcing**

Some savings associations may determine that their need of information technology is too sophisticated or dynamic for effective support by internal resources. These institutions may determine that some or all of their technology needs should be outsourced to a facilities management company, service bureau or other third-party contractor.

This delegation does not lessen the burden on management to supervise and control all aspects of the savings associations’ IT activities. An institution’s delegation of responsibilities through outsourcing requires reasonable due diligence efforts throughout the term of the engagement. Conditions, rights and responsibilities of the savings association and the vendor should be governed by written agreements. This is particularly important in an electronic environment because short-term engagements, new developments and untested entities are not uncommon. Further, management must coordinate all outsourcing arrangements to ensure that security, reliability and integrity are not compromised. Examiners should ensure that all outsourcing arrangements are executed with the same access, control, monitoring and reporting environment that would be expected for a savings association with proprietary systems.

**Service Bureau**

Service bureaus provide standardized information system services to multiple institutions. They are common among smaller savings associations with a limited number of customer accounts and low transaction volume. Due to the costs and technical resources required to maintain an in-house computer center, some larger savings associations also find service bureaus a cost-effective alternative. Service bureaus provide the savings association with experience, proven software and reliable hardware.

Typically, data is forwarded to the service bureau computer center via on-line data entry terminals or by tape, diskette or paper copy transported by courier. Output reports are returned to the savings association in the same manner. A regulator appointed by the FFIEC examines major service bureaus providing service to federally regulated financial institutions. Savings associations should ensure they receive copies of the service bureau’s IT examination report.

**Contracts**

When employing the services of an outside vendor, management should carefully review any proposed service contracts or agreements with an eye toward minimizing the savings association’s exposure to risk. The guidelines listed below should be considered when executing any contract with an outside vendor. In addition, the savings association’s legal counsel should review the draft contract to determine that the interests of the institution are adequately protected. Some guidelines when contracting with an outside vendor are to:
Consider the following points prior to entering into any service arrangement:

- Alternative vendors and related costs
- Financial stability of the vendor
- Requirements for termination of service
- Quality of the service provided

Ensure that a contract specifies the duties and responsibilities of the savings association and the service provider.

Review the contract's penalty provisions for reasonableness in the areas of contract length, fees and compensation of the savings association for loss of income.

Ensure that the following items are included in the service contracts:

- The service provider agrees to submit to an examination by OTS, which will evaluate and monitor the soundness of the provider in order to limit the savings association's risk. Specifically, the following language should be incorporated in the contract:

  “By entering into this agreement, the service provider agrees that the Office of Thrift Supervision will have the authority and responsibility provided to the other regulatory agencies pursuant to the Bank Service Corporation Act, 12 U.S.C. 1867(C) relating to services performed by contract or otherwise.”

- The service provider provides the OTS regional director of the region in which the data processing center is located, a copy of any current third-party review and the current audited financial statements.

- The service provider agrees to release the information necessary to allow the savings association to develop a contingency plan that will work in concert with the service provider’s plan.

Management Controls for Evaluating and Controlling Risks

Savings associations should adopt a risk management program to address unique aspects of an electronic environment. While most deficiencies in information technology tend to be directly related to operational risk, information technology also can affect other business risks (credit, market, financial, legal and reputation) depending upon the specific circumstances. Information technology elements should be viewed in an integrated manner with the overall business risks of the institution and its business lines and products. A deficiency in any one of the IT elements could have a substantive adverse effect on the institution.

The risk-focused supervisory process places emphasis on the evaluation of information technology and its effect on an institution’s trust and asset management operations. Accordingly, examiners should specifically consider information technology when developing risk assessment and supervisory plans. They should determine the appropriate level of review of IT activities given the characteristics, size and business activities of the institution. In general, examiners should:

- Develop a broad understanding of the institution’s approach, strategy and structure with regard to information technology. This requires a determination of the role and importance of information technology to the institution and any unique characteristics or issues.
Incorporate an analysis of information technology systems into risk assessments and action plans. The analysis should include identification of critical information technology systems, related management responsibility and the major technology components. An organization’s information technology systems should be considered in relation to the size, activities and complexity of the organization, as well as the degree of reliance on these systems.

Assess the institution’s critical systems, that is, those that support trust and asset management activities and the degree of reliance those activities have on information technology systems. The level of review should be sufficient to determine that the systems are delivering the services necessary for the institution to conduct its business in a safe and sound manner.

Determine whether the board of directors and senior management are adequately identifying and controlling the significant risks associated with information technology for its trust and asset management business line.

An effective risk management control program will minimize the negative effects of a problem situation. Minimizing the potentially negative effects can be particularly difficult in an electronic environment that offers speed, sophistication and access to many users, regardless of their legitimacy. Further, because systems will likely affect all activities to one degree or another, a single problem can have an effect on several areas including product management, marketing, customer service and operations.

For instance, electronic advertising can provide information about products, services, rates and fees. Incorrect information can lead to customer complaints, contingent liabilities or lost opportunities and income. As a result of unauthorized system access, content may be altered to include inappropriate material that can be viewed by the general public. If the savings association has weak controls and security, users may be able to access, disclose or improperly use confidential information.

Practices to Control Risks

Input and Output Controls

Control practices that govern input and use of information are important to safeguard. Historically, control weaknesses have contributed to fraud and recordkeeping problems. Most operational charge-offs can be traced to problems related to the input and use of information.

A savings association should require specific data controls for technology that is used to process information that has a direct monetary effect on the institution or its trust and asset management customers. At a minimum, these controls should include the requirement that there be a segregation of duties between the input of information and the review of that information after it is processed. Such controls should also require the reviewer to reconcile the processed information. Institutions should require that most functions relating to processing assets be performed under dual control. Appropriate controls should be established in the early stages of development and deployment and described in detail in the savings association’s operating policies and procedures.

The savings association should also establish data editing routines to help ensure that data entering a system is error-free. This control is important whether the data is being manually entered or electronically transferred from another system.
Information Security

The savings association should have a security system in place that controls access by unauthorized internal or external users. With the increasing use of personal computers and local and wide area networks, it is possible for an institution to expand access to applications and data to all staff. As the number of users increases, however, so does the threat of unauthorized use. Similarly, activities conducted through other interactive devices, such as the Internet, automated teller machines, telephones and televisions open the computer system to outside and potentially unauthorized users. Although the access devices and distribution channels vary, the issues are the same regardless of the type of access device or distribution channel.

Management should control access to prevent a security compromise of its systems. Data is particularly vulnerable to unauthorized access or alteration during transmission over public networks. Management should develop methods to maintain confidentiality, ensure that the intended person receives accurate information and prevent eavesdropping by others. In addition, evidence of participation by both the sender and the receiver in a transaction should be created.

Effective security does not rely on one solution, but on several measures that, together, serve to identify and control risk. Although not all-inclusive, the following potential risks and mitigating controls should be considered in developing a system security program:

Authorization: Authorization involves the predetermination of permissible activities. Management should ensure that customers have access only to their own accounts and perform only authorized functions.

Access Controls: Traditional access controls, such as user identification, passwords and personal identification numbers, should be implemented for all users. However, since the effectiveness of these controls is greatly influenced by the user, management should take all possible steps to educate the user in this area. For example, new users typically use their name or social security number as a password or write their password on a piece of paper for ease of reference. Management should educate users on the risks of such practices and promote the use of alphanumeric passwords.

Secure Data Storage: Confidential information or highly sensitive data should be stored securely. Management should consider storing sensitive data in encrypted form and implementing stringent access controls.

Encryption: Encryption technology disguises information to hide its meaning and enhances confidentiality by restricting information access to intended users. Encryption-based methods can also be used to verify message authenticity and accuracy. Information is encrypted and decrypted with a cipher and key using specialized computer hardware or software. Secrecy of the key and complexity of the cipher are crucial for the success of encryption controls.

Firewalls: Firewalls are physical devices, software programs, or both, that enhance security by monitoring and limiting access to computer facilities. They create a security barrier between two or more networks to protect the computer system from unauthorized entry.

Authentication: Authentication controls are used to verify and recognize the identity of parties to a transaction. Such controls typically include acknowledgment, computerized logs, digital signatures, edit checks and separation of duties. Weak authentication controls can allow the accuracy and reliability of data to be compromised by unauthorized fabrication, errors introduced in the system or corruption. Savings associations should utilize authentication controls to preserve the integrity of data.
Acknowledgment: Acknowledgment controls include batch totaling, sequential numbering and one-for-one checking against a control file to verify that electronic transactions are properly completed. For example, if an electronic transmission is interrupted, the institution should have controls to notify the sender of the incomplete transaction and prevent duplication of data during the retransmission. In addition, savings associations should install anti-virus software to prevent corruption of data or systems.

Evaluating Information Technology

The trust and asset management examiner should focus on the systems and issues that are considered critical to the performance of the institution’s trust and asset management responsibilities. There are five basic IT elements to be considered in the discussion of the risks associated with information technology. While trust and asset management examiners will defer to the OTS information technology examination staff for a more technical review of these elements, the trust and asset management examiner should discuss them with management to ascertain whether the appropriate risk controls have been established. The five information technology elements are:

Management Processes: Management processes encompass planning, investment, development, execution and staffing of information technology from a corporate-wide and business-specific perspective. Management processes relating to information technology are effective when they are aligned with, and supportive of, the institution’s mission and business objectives. Management processes include strategic planning, management and reporting hierarchy and a regular independent review function.

Architecture: Architecture refers to the underlying design of an automated information system and its individual components. The underlying design encompasses both physical and logical architecture, including operating environments and the organization of data. The individual components refer to network communications, hardware, operating systems software, communications software, database management systems, programming languages and desktop software. Effective architecture meets current and long-term organizational objectives and addresses capacity requirements to ensure that systems allow users to easily enter data at both normal and peak processing times. It also provides satisfactory solutions to problems that arise when information is stored and processed in two or more systems that cannot be connected electronically.

Integrity: Integrity refers to the reliability, accuracy and completeness of information delivered to the end-user. An information technology system has an effective level of integrity when the resulting information flows are accurate and complete. Lack of integrity in an institution’s systems will adversely affect day-to-day reliability, processing performance, input and output accuracy and ease of use of critical information.

Security: Security refers to the safety afforded to information and its data processing environments, using both physical and logical controls to achieve a level of protection commensurate with the value of the information. Information technology has effective security when controls prevent unauthorized access, modification, destruction or disclosure of information during its creation, transmission, processing, maintenance or storage.

Availability: Availability refers to the delivery of information to end-users. Information technology is effective only when information is consistently delivered on a timely basis to support business and decision-making processes. In assessing the adequacy of availability, examiners should consider the capability of information technology to provide information to the end-users from either primary or secondary sources, including contingency plans to mitigate business disruption. Contingency plans should set out a process for restoring or replacing its information processing resources, reconstructing its information assets and...
resuming its business activity when disruption occurs. Disruption may be caused by human error or intervention, natural disaster or infrastructure failure (such as loss of utilities or communication lines) or operational failure of hardware, software or network communications.

Contingency Planning

All institutions should have written contingency plans established in the event that data is lost or systems are damaged. The contingency plans should address processes to restore data and systems from off-site backup. Contingency planning, also known as business resumption planning, is a process of reviewing an institution’s departments or functions and assessing each area’s importance to the viability of the organization. This planning process should address each critical system and operation, whether performed on-site or by a service provider.

The savings association’s board of directors and senior management are responsible for the comprehensive planning, review, testing and approval of the institution’s contingency plans. These plans should be reviewed annually and documented in board minutes.

If the savings association has contracted with a service provider, management also must evaluate the adequacy of contingency plans for its service provider and ensure that the savings association’s contingency plan is compatible with its service provider’s plan.

Contingency plans can minimize business disruptions caused by problems that impair or destroy the institution’s processing and delivery systems. The loss or extended disruption of business operations poses substantial risk of financial loss and could lead to the failure of the institution. Therefore, contingency planning requires a department-specific, as well as an institution-wide emphasis, as opposed to focusing only on the centralized computer operations.

The beginning point in establishing a contingency plan is to assess the risks posed by each processing system, identifying the principal departments, resources, activities and constituencies potentially affected. This includes assessing the response capability of service vendors that provide disaster recovery services. The vendor should provide alternative processing sites as well as storage and transportation of back-up media between the storage vendor, alternate processing site and the institution. Management should also formally appoint and empower individual(s) with the latitude and authority to respond during an incident.

The savings association’s contingency plan should also include an incident response team. Generally, the team consists of the officers and employees who represent key departments and functions and who collectively provide the expertise necessary to respond quickly and decisively to problems. A preparedness plan should also be established that defines the roles and responsibilities for each team member. Although the degree of sophistication will vary depending on the risks inherent in each system, establishing an incident response team and preparedness plan also provides a platform from which an institution can respond to a problem situation. The composition of a response team or extent of a preparedness plan will depend upon the level and complexity of information technology and the institution’s available resources.

Distinction Between Information Technology and Trust and Asset Management Examiner Review

Information technology examiners will continue to examine savings associations that operate their own computer center or have sensitive and complex internal information systems operated on personal computers or local or wide area networks. Additionally, IT examiners will continue to examine national, regional and local service bureaus. All information systems examinations (institution and service bureau) are conducted
according to the policies and procedures in the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) Information Systems Examination Handbook.

Trust and asset management examiners will examine the information systems and technology controls of savings associations that have information system services provided primarily by a service bureau but are increasingly using internal information systems and technology to perform daily operations and provide products and services. During the course of a trust and asset management examination, examiners should specifically review the adequacy of authority level controls and access to Fedline operations in the trust department.

In addition to these procedures and those found in Section 341 of the Thrift Activities Handbook, examiners should become familiar with the FFIEC Information Systems Handbook as a source of useful information.

Examiners are reminded that access and speed capabilities can magnify risk in an electronic environment. This is particularly true if risk management control programs are ineffective or if a system is linked to a savings association’s central operations or databases. In other words, a savings association can be exposed to significant risk even if activity volume is nominal. Therefore, consultation between the trust and asset management and IT examiners may be necessary to comprehensively evaluate a savings association’s electronic environment. Trust and asset management examiners should consult with a regional IT examiner for assistance, as necessary.

Generally, the need for services of an IT examiner may include instances where:

- The savings association has a web site that is directly connected to its operating system
- The savings association has the capability for customers to access and transfer data, files or messages
- The savings association has the capability to enable users to direct or process financial transactions (e.g., transactional web site or stored value system)
- Significant deficiencies or weaknesses are noted
- Systems are unusually sophisticated

Depending on the extent of internal control weaknesses, the examiner in charge (EIC), the trust and asset management examination manager, and the IT examinations manager will determine if follow-up by the IT examination staff is required as part of the current or future examinations.
Examination Objectives

To determine the adequacy and/or effectiveness of the trust department’s information technology. Consider whether:

• the risks involving the savings association’s use of electronic capabilities have been analyzed;

• compliance with applicable law is considered;

• credible management reports are prepared and good oversight practices are apparent;

• quality policies, procedures and internal controls are established to monitor and control information technology risk;

• good physical security controls are maintained; and

• deficiencies are identified and prompt corrective action initiated.

Examination Procedures

Level I

Level I procedures first focus on a review of the examination scoping materials. The next step consists of interviews with trust department personnel to confirm their qualifications and levels of expertise; to determine if the trust department’s practices conform to written guidelines; to establish whether any significant changes in personnel, operations or business practices have occurred; or whether new products and/or services have been introduced. If items of concern are uncovered during Level I procedures or if problems are identified during the preexamination monitoring and scoping; the examiner may need to perform certain Level II procedures.

1. Review examination scoping materials related to information technology functions of the trust department. Scoping material should include:

   • Risk profile

   • Relevant PERK documents

   • ECEF reports
2. Evaluate the information technology policies and procedures for adequacy. Consider whether they address:
   - Information integrity
   - Operations technology
   - Vendor management
   - Internet services
   - Electronic mail
   - Critical file backup
   - Contingency planning
   - From the evaluation, assess the information technology infrastructure including local area networks (LANS), wide area networks (WANS) and other information technology resources.

3. Determine if significant changes to outsourcing arrangements have occurred.
4. Evaluate whether management has the knowledge and expertise to manage its information technology. Determine if any significant personnel and/or organizational changes occurred.

5. Determine the role and importance information technology plays within the organization and whether this presents any unique issues. Assess whether the savings association’s use of information technology is appropriate to the size and complexity of the trust department.

6. How does management monitor the performance of all third party service providers? Assess management’s due diligence and vendor selection process.

7. Determine whether any new information technology type products or services have been installed. Also, determine whether any new trust and asset management services required new or an adaptation of existing technologies. Consider whether:
   - internal audit assessed the new systems or programs prior to implementation;
   - management maintained the level of expertise necessary to manage these technology products and services;
   - technological advances are kept up with, such as online payment, digital signatures and/or wireless technology;
   - information systems are protected from external intrusion; and
   - system reliability and performance are considered.
8. Has an audit or other review been performed on all service providers? Did management obtain a copy and review the results?

9. Assess the adequacy of audit coverage of the trust department’s information technology. Determine whether information technology audit plans and audit schedules are commensurate with the department’s information technology environment and risks.

10. Consider whether the following risk contributors (if applicable) have been addressed:
    - Does management fully understand all aspects of information technology?
    - Does management anticipate and respond well to market and technological change?
    - Do management information systems and reports provide credible and comprehensive information?
    - Are prudent due diligence efforts used in the selection of service providers when this function is delegated?
    - Does management quickly identify weaknesses and take appropriate action?
    - Do material, unresolved issues noted in audit, compliance or examination reports remain uncorrected?
    - Do policies and procedures address all significant activities?

The completion of the Level I procedures may provide sufficient information to make a determination that no further examination procedures are necessary. If no determination can be made, proceed to Level II.
Level II

Level II procedures focus on an analysis of trust department documents such as reports and outsourcing contracts. The examiner should complete the appropriate Level II procedures when the completion of Level I procedures does not reveal adequate information on which to base a conclusion that the trust department meets the examination objectives. Neither the Level I nor the Level II procedures include any significant verification.

1. Review the savings association’s web site as it relates to trust and asset management activities. If the website is a transactional website, confirm that the savings association notified OTS and was granted approval.

2. Review the savings association’s policies and procedures to determine whether there is adequate security to prevent unauthorized access and entry to customer information and accounts. Evaluate if the web site is managed in a secure manner.

3. Determine if management verified the accuracy and content of financial planning software or interactive programs (between internal and external users) available through deployed systems.

4. Determine if the savings association’s contingency plan addresses information technology as it relates to trust and asset management activities.

5. Assess the guidance for employees pertaining to information integrity. Does it address the need to protect the confidentiality of customer and corporate information?
6. Is there a segregation of duties among system developers and operations personnel?

7. Has management kept up with marketplace changes such as decimalization and has it planned for future changes such as T+1 settlement and straight through processing?

8. If the savings association operates a fedline terminal in the trust department, are there procedures in place to ensure that controls are adequate?

9. Review all exception reports. Assess management’s actions and determine whether the exceptions pose any significant risk to the savings association.

10. If there are unresolved exceptions present in internal, external, compliance or examination reports, discuss corrective action with management.

If the examiner cannot rely on trust and asset management Level I or Level II procedures or data contained in department records or internal or external audit reports to form a conclusion; proceed to Level III.
Level III

Level III procedures include verification procedures that auditors usually perform. Although certain situations may require that Level III procedures be completed, it is not the standard practice of Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) examination staff to duplicate or substitute for the testing performed by auditors.

1. Determine if the findings of the audit/compliance review are consistent with examination findings. If not, discuss with management the reasons for any discrepancy.

2. Do the operating systems contain sufficient firewalls?

3. Are criminal background checks of key IT employees and contractors performed?

4. Is there an immediate revocation of system access rights for ex-workers?

5. For electronic funds transfers, compare the daily reconcilement of wire transfers with correspondent and general ledger accounts to detect any errors or misapplications of funds.

6. Determine if someone with proper authority has been given responsibility for assigning qualified individuals as users of fedline terminals. Determine if passwords are changed frequently and only legitimate users have access to the terminals. Determine if dual controls have been implemented. Determine if terminated employees are promptly removed from accessibility.
7. Determine if there is an adequate procedure for backing up critical files. Test the process to determine whether it is being followed. Consider whether diskettes containing significant or critical information are labeled and stored in a secure location (on- or off-site).

8. If there are significant examination concerns, contact the OTS information technology examination division.

Examiner’s UITRS Rating, Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations:

References – 510P

Laws

Code of Federal Regulations

Office of Thrift Supervision Publications

TB 11-1 Purchased Software Evaluation Guidelines

Other

FFIEC Information Systems Handbook

Workpaper Attachments – 510P
Optional Topic Questions

The following list of questions is offered merely as a tool and reference for the examiner and is not a required part of the examination process.

**Audit Process**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the auditor have specialized information systems audit training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the scope of the audit program commensurate with the extent of information systems activities? Does the audit program concentrate on issues such as contract administration, insurance, operational controls, online access controls, contingency planning and PC/LAN/WAN controls?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audit program test balancing procedures of automated applications including the disposition of rejected and unposted items?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audit program sample customer record files (master files) to verify them against source documents for accuracy and authorization?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audit program spot-check computer calculations such as fee charges, past due loans, etc.?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audit program verify output report totals, check the accuracy of exception reports, trace transactions to final disposition to determine adequacy of audit trails and perform customer confirmations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the audit procedures cover the flow of critical data through interrelated systems from the point of origin to point of destination?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audit process include a review of the servicer’s third-party review report? If so, is an evaluation made of any exceptions and recommended corrective action?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outsourcing Arrangements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are outsourcing arrangements with vendors and subcontractors included in the savings association’s compliance reviews?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine if management investigates and documents its selection process for new service providers? Does it include the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Alternative services?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pricing of services, including special charges for forms, equipment, etc.?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Quality of reports and user documentation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Financial stability of the servicer?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contingency planning?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The ability of the servicer to handle future processing requirements?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Requirements for termination of service?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Insurance requirements?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Review of service contract by savings association’s legal counsel?
• Does the servicer provide the institution with current, understandable user instruction manuals for each application and do the employees use them?

Determine whether the service contract provisions include:
• Description of work performed and time schedules for processing and delivery of work.
• Fee schedules and other charges.
• On-line communication access and security.
• Audit responsibility.
• Opportunities for the savings association to review independent annual audits and similar reports.
• Provisions for contingency backup processing and record protection.
• Notice required (both parties) for termination of service and the return of customer records in machine-readable form.
• Confidentiality of data files and programs.
• Insurance carried by the servicer.
• Liability for documents damaged or lost in transit.

Determine whether the contract administration policies and procedures provide for monitoring and management of the information system service provider’s performance in areas such as:
• Service level performance and service charges
• Financial condition
• Ability to meet future needs
• Performance reports by information system service provider

Are there reasonable requirements for periodic due diligence reviews of third-party providers, including contractors, subcontractors, support vendors and other parties?

**Operations Technology**

• Are procedures in place to control customer transfers of funds from each access point?
• Are safeguards in place to detect and prevent duplicate transactions within each system deployed?
• Do policies and procedures address the savings association’s use of electronic mail?
• Do policies and procedures address transmissions among all user groups, including customers, officers and employees?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Technology Examination Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Are file maintenance changes to customer account record files (master files) requested in writing (Note: In on-line systems, this procedure is handled as part of the system access controls and supervisory override feature)? Are the changes and requests reviewed by staff and, when appropriate, a supervisor? Are the changes verified for correctness after processing?

- Are microfilm, digitized records, paper copies of checks and data entry source documents secured? If so, verify that:
  - Documents and microfilm/microfiche are stored on- or off-site in a secure location with limited access;
  - An inventory or usage log is maintained at the storage site location and the quality of the microfilm is checked periodically.

### Critical File Backup

- Does the savings association have procedures and a training program to promote awareness on the use and care of PCs?

- Is the trust department processing significant applications on a PC and reconciling the input and output for accuracy?

- If yes, has the department developed a security policy that contains minimum control standards for PCs as described in Thrift Bulletin 29 End-User Computing?

- Is there an established program for ongoing review of each system used for content, continued appropriateness, accuracy, integrity, security, controls, system updates, obsolescence, system capacity and strategic direction?

### Information Security

- For interactive systems, does management require a review of the interactive components and processes to ensure compatibility and security?

- Has senior management established appropriate levels of access to information and applications for officers, employees, system vendors, customers and other users? Are access levels formally established and reviewed on a regular basis?

- Have appropriate procedures been established to monitor for unauthorized attempts to access the savings association's system? Verify that policies require formal reporting in the event of attempted or actual attacks against any of the savings association's systems.

- Are terminals with service provider access controlled by user logon codes, passwords known only to specified individuals or encryption and when necessary, physical keys and physical configuration?

- Are users with terminal access controlled by unique user log-on codes or passwords known only to the user?

- Is access to PCs restricted due to physical security (keyboard locks, secure rooms) and software security (passwords) and enforced?
Are PCs linked to a LAN or WAN? If so, are passwords used to grant access and functional authorization on the system? Are passwords changed periodically? Does each user have a unique user identification code and password?

Have periodic changes been made to user log-on codes, passwords and supervisory override passwords? Are they adequately controlled with regards to personnel authorized to make changes, the security of documentation and monitoring and reporting of violations?

Are users or terminals controlled as to the applications they can access, the transactions they can initiate, and specific hours of operation?

Are there sign-off procedures or an automatic sign-off after a period of inactivity?

Are security passwords and user identification codes suppressed on all video and printed output displays?

Does the trust department have any direct connection between its internal operating system(s) and the system that hosts the external electronic service or activity (for example, a Web site)? If the savings association does have a direct connection, an IT examiner should be consulted.

Does the trust department establish the legitimacy of each party requesting an account action or submitting related instructions or data?

Are appropriate exception reports generated and reviewed on a periodic basis? In addition, do the reports indicate:
- All transactions made at a terminal by an operator
- Restricted transactions
- Correcting and reversing entries
- Dates and times of transactions
- Unsuccessful attempts to access the system and restricted information
- Unusual activity

Web Site

Has the savings association incorporated a web site in its business plan?

Has management assessed the annual operating and maintenance costs (including telecommunications, hardware, software, personnel, etc.) in operating a web site?

Do the savings association’s policies and procedures address authentication concerns relating to those customers that may not physically visit the savings association?

Do the savings association’s policies and procedures address fraud and how it will deal with those situations perpetrated outside its geographical area and/or legal jurisdiction?

Does the trust department have encryption techniques used to process all data, from the end-user personal computer back through the firewall (or DMZ) and to the main data processing site? Refer review of complex web site technology to IT examination staff.
### Information Technology Examination Program

- Are account inquiries and fund transfers processed end-to-end? (If website is “transactional” refer to IT examinations staff.)

#### Contingency Plan

- For contingency planning purposes, is there a backup system or method established for users to conduct normal activity in the event the system is not available for an extended period of time?
- Are there instruction guides and other support materials that address the backup system or method?
- Has management established a reasonable procedure to notify users in the event of a problem?
- Is the savings association’s plan compatible with its service bureau’s plans?
- Does the plan identify all critical resources, including data communication networks?
- Does the plan provide for in-house communication hubs?
- Does the plan require the savings association to participate in service bureau disaster recovery tests?