The attached notices of proposed rulemaking were published in the Federal Register on March 9, 2009.

The attached proposed rule would revise part 21 of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency's (OCC's) regulations that implement the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) governing the confidentiality of a suspicious activity report (SAR) to: (1) clarify the scope of the statutory prohibition on the disclosure by a financial institution of a SAR; (2) address the statutory prohibition on the disclosure by the government of a SAR as that prohibition applies to the OCC's standards governing the disclosure of SARs; (3) clarify that the exclusive standard applicable to the disclosure of a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, by the OCC is "to fulfill official duties consistent with the purposes of the BSA;" and (4) modify the safe harbor provision in its rules to include changes made by the USA PATRIOT Act. The proposed amendments to part 21 are based upon a similar proposal issued simultaneously by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN).

In addition, the OCC is proposing to revise its regulations governing the release of non-public OCC information. The proposal clarifies that the OCC’s decision to release a SAR would be governed by the standards set forth in the proposed amendments to part 21 of the OCC’s rules.

A copy of the proposals can be obtained at the Web site addresses noted below. The comment period for this proposal ends on June 8, 2009.

For questions concerning these proposed rules, contact Patrick T. Tierney, Senior Attorney, or Rebecca Smith, Attorney, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division at (202) 874-5090.

Daniel P. Stipano
Deputy Chief Counsel
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- Notice (Part 21: Confidentiality) 74 FR 10130
- Notice (Part 4: Standards) 74 FR 10136
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Confidentiality of Suspicious Activity Reports

AGENCY: The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury (OCC).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The OCC is proposing to amend its regulations implementing the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) governing the confidentiality of a suspicious activity report (SAR) to: Clarify the scope of the statutory prohibition on the disclosure by a financial institution of a report of a suspicious transaction, as it applies to national banks; address the statutory prohibition on the disclosure by the government of a SAR, as that prohibition applies to the OCC’s standards governing the disclosure of SARs; clarify the exclusive standard applicable to the disclosure of a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, by the OCC; and modify the safe harbor provision in its rules to include changes made by the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism (USA PATRIOT) Act. These amendments are based upon a similar proposal being contemporaneously issued by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN).

DATES: Comments must be received by June 8, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Because paper mail in the Washington, DC area and received by the OCC is subject to delay, commenters are encouraged to submit comments by the Federal eRulemaking Portal or e-mail, if possible. Please use the title “Confidentiality of Suspicious Activity Reports” to facilitate the organization and distribution of the comments. You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal—“Regulations.gov”: Go to http://www.regulations.gov, under the “More Search Options” tab click next to the “Advanced Document Search” option where indicated, select “Comptroller of the Currency” from the agency drop-down menu, then click “Submit.” In the “Docket ID” column, select “OCC–2009–0004” to view public comments for this rulemaking action. You may view Comments Personally: You may personally inspect and photocopy comments at the OCC, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. For security reasons, the OCC requires that visitors make an appointment to inspect comments. You may do so by calling (202) 874–4700. Upon arrival, visitors will be required to present valid government-issued photo identification and submit to security screening in order to inspect and photocopy comments.

Docket: You may also view or request available background documents and project summaries using the methods described above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Vivenzio, Senior Counsel for BSA/AML, (202) 874–5200; Ellen Warwick, Assistant Director, Litigation, (202) 874–5280; or Patrick Tierney, Senior Attorney, Legislative and Regulatory Activities, (202) 874–5090; Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The BSA requires financial institutions, including national banks regulated by the OCC, to keep certain records and make certain reports that have been determined to be useful in criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings, and for intelligence or counter intelligence activities to protect against international terrorism. In particular, the BSA and its implementing regulations require a financial institution to file a SAR when it detects a known or suspected violation of Federal law or a suspicious activity related to money laundering, terrorist financing, or other criminal activity.1

SARs are used for law enforcement or regulatory purposes to combat terrorism, terrorist financing, money laundering and other financial crimes. For this reason, the BSA provides that a financial institution, and its officers, directors, employees, and agents are prohibited from notifying anyone involved in a suspicious transaction that the transaction was reported.2 To encourage the voluntary reporting of possible violations of law and regulation, and the filing of SARs, the BSA also contains a safe harbor provision which shields financial institutions making such reports from civil liability.

FinCEN has issued rules implementing the SAR confidentiality provisions for various types of financial institutions that closely mirror the statutory language.3 In addition, the...
Federal bank regulatory agencies implemented these provisions through similar regulations that provide SARs are confidential and generally no information about or contained in a SAR may be disclosed. The regulations issued by FinCEN and the Federal bank regulatory agencies also describe the applicability of the safe harbor provision to both voluntary reports of possible and known violations of law and the required filing of SARs.5

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 strengthened the confidentiality of SARs by adding to the BSA a new provision that prohibits officers or employees of the Federal government or any State, local, tribal, or territorial government within the United States with knowledge of a SAR, from disclosing to any person involved in a suspicious transaction that the transaction was reported, other than as necessary to fulfill the official duties of such officer or employee. The USA PATRIOT Act also clarified that the safe harbor shielding financial institutions from liability covers voluntary disclosures of possible violations of law and regulations to a government agency and expanded the scope of the limit on liability to cover any civil liability which may exist “under any contract or other legally enforceable agreement (including any arbitration agreement).”7 FinCEN is proposing to modify its SAR rules to interpret or further interpret the provisions of the BSA that relate to the confidentiality of SARs and the safe harbor for such reporting. The OCC is proposing to amend its rules contemporaneously, based upon the proposal being issued by FinCEN, to clarify the manner in which these provisions apply to national banks and to the OCC’s own standards governing the disclosure of a SAR and any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR (referred to in this preamble as “SAR information”).

II. Overview of Proposal

The proposed amendments to the OCC’s rules include key changes that would (1) clarify the scope of the statutory prohibition on the disclosure by a financial institution of a SAR, as it applies to national banks; (2) address the statutory prohibition on the disclosure by the government of a SAR, which was added to the BSA by section 351(b) of the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, as that prohibition applies to the OCC’s standards governing the disclosure of SAR information; and (3) clarify that the exclusive standard applicable to the disclosure of SAR information by the OCC is “to fulfill official duties consistent with the purposes of the BSA.” in order to ensure that SAR information is protected from inappropriate disclosures unrelated to the BSA purposes for which SARs are filed. In addition, the proposed amendments would modify the safe harbor provision in the OCC’s SAR rules9 to include changes made by the USA PATRIOT Act.

Furthermore, as described in section III of this SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, FinCEN is simultaneously issuing for notice and comment proposed guidance regarding the sharing of SARs with affiliates. That proposed guidance interprets a provision of the proposed rulemaking, and, accordingly, should be read in conjunction with this notice.

In a separate rulemaking, the OCC is simultaneously proposing to amend its information disclosure regulation set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, to clarify that the exclusive standard governing the release of SAR information is set forth in 12 CFR 21.11.10 The OCC is issuing this proposed amendment to 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, at the same time, to make clear that the OCC will disclose SAR information only when necessary to satisfy the BSA purposes for which SARs are filed.

III. Section-by-Section Description of the Proposal

Section 21.11(b): Definition of a SAR

The primary purpose of the OCC’s SAR rule is to ensure that a national bank files a SAR when it detects a known or suspected violation of a Federal law or a suspicious transaction related to a money laundering activity or a violation of the BSA. See 12 CFR 21.11(a). Incidental to this purpose, the OCC’s SAR rule includes a section that addresses the confidentiality of SARs.

Under the current SAR rule, the term “SAR” means “a Suspicious Activity Report on the form prescribed by the OCC.” The proposed rule simply defines a “SAR” generically as “a Suspicious Activity Report.” This change would extend the confidentiality provisions of the OCC’s SAR rule to all SARs, including those filed on forms prescribed by FinCEN.11 As a consequence, a national bank that obtained a SAR, for example, from a non-bank affiliate pursuant to the provisions of this proposed rule, would be required to safeguard the confidentiality of the SAR, even if the SAR had not been filed on a form prescribed by the OCC.

Section 21.11(c): SARs Required

To clarify that a national bank must file a SAR on a form “prescribed by the OCC,” the OCC is proposing to add this phrase to the introductory language of the section of the OCC’s SAR rule that describes the procedures for the filing of a SAR. Accordingly, the proposed rules require a national bank to file a SAR with the appropriate Federal law enforcement agencies and the Department of the Treasury on the form prescribed by the OCC in accordance with the form’s instructions, by sending a completed SAR to FinCEN in particular circumstances.12

Section 21.11(k): Confidentiality of SARs

The OCC is proposing to amend its rules regarding SAR confidentiality by modifying the introductory sentence, and dividing the remainder of the current provision into two sections. The first section would describe the prohibition on disclosure of SAR information by national banks, and the rules of construction applicable to this prohibition. The second section would describe the prohibition on the OCC’s disclosure of SAR information.

Currently, the OCC’s rules prohibiting the disclosure of SARs begins with the statement that SARs are confidential. Over the years, the OCC has received numerous questions regarding the scope of the prohibition on the disclosure of a SAR in its current rules. Accordingly, the OCC is proposing to clarify the scope of SAR confidentiality by more clearly describing the information that is subject to the prohibition. Like FinCEN, the OCC believes that all of the reasons for maintaining the confidentiality of SARs are equally applicable to any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR. The OCC, like FinCEN, recognized that in order to protect the confidentiality of

---

5 See 12 CFR 21.11(k) (OCC); 12 CFR 208.62(j) (FRB); 12 CFR 353.3(g) (FDIC); 12 CFR 563.180(d)(12) (OTS); and 12 CFR 748.1 (NCUA).
6 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(3).
7 See USA PATRIOT Act, section 351(b).
9 See USA PATRIOT Act, section 351(a).
11 FinCEN is the agency designated by the Department of the Treasury to administer the BSA, and with which SARs must be filed. See 31 U.S.C. 5318; 12 CFR 21.11(c).
12 12 CFR 21.11(i).
13 See elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register.
a SAR, any information that would reveal
the existence of a SAR (such as the
draft of a SAR that has been filed) must be afforded the same protection from disclosure. The confidentiality of SARs must be maintained for a number of compelling reasons. For example, the disclosure of a SAR could result in notification to persons involved in the transaction that is being reported, and compromise any investigations being conducted in connection with the SAR. In addition, the OCC believes that even the occasional disclosure of a SAR could chill the willingness of a national bank to file SARs, and to provide the degree of detail and completeness in describing suspicious activity in SARs that will be of use to law enforcement. If banks believe that a SAR can be used for purposes unrelated to the law enforcement and regulatory purposes of the BSA, the disclosure of such information could adversely affect the timely, appropriate, and candid reporting of suspicious transactions. Banks also may be reluctant to report suspicious transactions, or may delay making such reports, for fear that the disclosure of a SAR will interfere with the bank's relationship with its customer. Further, a SAR may provide insight into how a bank uncovers potential criminal conduct that can be used by others to circumvent detection. The disclosure of a SAR also could compromise personally identifiable information or commercially sensitive information, or damage the reputation of individuals or companies that may be named. Finally, the disclosure of a SAR for uses unrelated to the law enforcement and regulatory purposes for which SARs are intended increases the risk that bank employees or others who are involved in the preparation or filing of a SAR could become targets for retaliation by persons whose criminal conduct has been reported.

These reasons for maintaining the confidentiality of SARs also apply to any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR. Therefore, like FinCEN, the OCC is proposing to modify the general introduction in its rules to state that confidential treatment must also be afforded to “any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR.” The introduction also would indicate that SAR information may not be disclosed, except as authorized in the narrow circumstances that follow.

Section 21.11(k)(i): Prohibition on Disclosure by National Banks

The OCC’s current rules provide that any national bank or person subpoenaed or otherwise requested to disclose a SAR or the information contained in a

SAR must (1) decline to produce the SAR or to provide any information that would disclose that a SAR has been prepared or filed, and (2) notify the OCC.

The proposed rules more specifically address the prohibition on the disclosure of a SAR by a national bank. The rules provide that the prohibition includes “any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR” instead of using the phrase “any information that would disclose that a SAR has been prepared or filed.” The OCC, like FinCEN, believes that this phrase more clearly describes the type of information that is covered by the prohibition on the disclosure of a SAR. In addition, the proposed rules incorporate the specific reference in 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(2)(A)(i) to a “director, officer, employee or agent,” in order to clarify that the prohibition on disclosure extends to those individuals in a national bank who may have access to SAR information. Although 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(2)(A)(i) provides that a person involved in the transaction may not be notified that the transaction has been reported, the proposed rules continue to reflect case law that has consistently concluded, in accordance with applicable regulations, that financial institutions are broadly prohibited from disclosing SAR information to any person. Accordingly, these cases have held that, in the context of discovery in connection with civil lawsuits, financial institutions are prohibited from disclosing SAR information because section 5318(g) and its implementing regulations have created an unqualified discovery and evidentiary privilege for such information that cannot be waived by financial institutions. Consistent with case law and current regulation, the texts of the proposed rules do not limit the prohibition on disclosure only to the person involved in the transaction. Permitting disclosure to any outside party may make it likely that SAR information would be disclosed to a person involved in the transaction, which is absolutely prohibited by the statute.

The proposed rules continue to provide that any national bank, or any director, officer, employee or agent of a national bank, subpoenaed or otherwise requested to disclose SAR information must decline to provide the information, citing this section of the rules and 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(2)(A)(i), and must give notice of the request to the OCC. In addition, the proposed rules require the bank to notify the OCC of its response to the request, and require the bank to provide the same information to FinCEN. This new notification requirement was added to the proposed rules so that either or both agencies can intervene to prevent the disclosure of a SAR by a national bank, if necessary.

Section 21.11(k)(i)(ii): Rules of Construction

The OCC, like FinCEN, is proposing rules of construction to address issues that have arisen over the years about the scope of the SAR disclosure prohibition, and to implement statutory modifications to the BSA made by the USA PATRIOT Act. The proposed rules of construction primarily describe situations that are not covered by the prohibition on bank disclosure of SAR information. The introduction to these rules makes clear that the rules of construction are each qualified by the statutory mandate that no person involved in any report of a suspicious transaction can be notified that the transaction has been reported.

The first proposed rule of construction builds on existing language to clarify that a national bank, or any director, officer, employee or agent of a national bank may disclose SAR information to FinCEN or any Federal, state, or local law enforcement agency; or any Federal or state regulatory agency that examines the financial institution for compliance with the BSA. Although the permissibility of such disclosures may be readily apparent, the proposal contains this statement to clarify that a national bank cannot use the prohibition on bank disclosure of SAR information to withhold this information from governmental authorities that are otherwise entitled by law to receive SARs and to examine for and investigate suspicious activity.

The second proposed rule of construction provides that SAR information does not include the underlying facts, transactions, and documents upon which a SAR is based. This statement reflects case law which has recognized that, while a financial institution is prohibited from producing documents in discovery that evidence the existence of a SAR, factual documents created in the ordinary course of business (for example, business records and account information, upon which a SAR is based), may be discoverable in civil litigation under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
This proposed rule of construction includes some examples of situations where a national bank may disclose the underlying facts, transactions, and documents upon which a SAR is based. The first example clarifies that a bank may disclose this information to another financial institution, or any director, officer, employee or agent of the financial institution, for the preparation of a joint SAR. The second example simply codifies a rule of construction added to the BSA by section 351 of the USA PATRIOT Act which permits the sharing of underlying information may be disclosed in certain written employment references and termination notices.

The third proposed rule of construction makes clear that the prohibition on the disclosure of SAR information by a national bank does not include the sharing by a national bank, or any director, officer, employee or agent of a bank, of SAR information within the bank’s corporate organizational structure, for purposes consistent with Title II of the BSA, as determined by regulation or in guidance issued by the OCC or FinCEN. This proposed rule recognizes that a national bank may find it necessary to share SAR information to fulfill its reporting obligations under the BSA, and to facilitate more effective enterprise-wide BSA monitoring and reporting, consistent with Title II of the BSA. The term “share” used in this rule of construction is an acknowledgement that sharing within a corporate organization for purposes consistent with Title II of the BSA, as determined by regulation or guidance issued by the OCC or FinCEN, is distinguishable from a prohibited disclosure.

FinCEN and the Federal bank regulatory agencies have already issued joint guidance making clear that the U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank may share a SAR with its head office, and that a U.S. bank or savings association may share a SAR with its controlling company (whether domestic or foreign). This guidance stated that the sharing of a SAR with a head office or controlling company both facilitates compliance with the applicable requirements of the BSA and enables the head office or controlling company to discharge its oversight responsibilities with respect to enterprise-wide risk management and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register, FinCEN is issuing additional guidance for notice and comment that further elaborates on sharing of SAR information within a corporate organization that FinCEN considers to be “consistent with the purposes of the BSA.” The proposed guidance would generally permit sharing of SAR information by depository institutions with their affiliates that are subject to a SAR rule.

Section 21.11(k)(2): Prohibition on Disclosure by the OCC

As previously noted, section 351 of the USA PATRIOT Act, 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(2)(A)(i), amended the BSA, and added a new provision prohibiting officers and employees of the government from disclosing a SAR to any person involved in the transaction that the transaction has been reported, except “as necessary to fulfill the official duties of such officer or employee.” The OCC is proposing rules to address this new section that are comparable to those being proposed by FinCEN. The proposed rules provide that the OCC will not, and no officer, employee or agent of the OCC, shall disclose SAR information, “except as necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act.”

As stated in section 5318(g)(2)(A)(i), which prohibits a financial institution’s disclosure of a SAR, section 5318(g)(2)(A)(ii) also prohibits the government from disclosing a SAR to “any person involved in the transaction.” The OCC, like FinCEN, is proposing to address sections 5318(g)(2)(A)(i) and (A)(ii) in a consistent manner, because disclosure by a governmental authority of SAR information to any outside party may make it likely that the information will be disclosed to a person involved in the transaction. The OCC believes that the purpose of section 5318(g)(2)(A)(i) could be undermined unless the OCC’s rules generally address the disclosure of SAR information by the OCC and its officers, employees and agents, not simply in the context of disclosure to “any person involved in the transaction.” Accordingly, the proposed rules would generally bar disclosures of SAR information by OCC officers, employees, or agents.

However, section 5318(g)(2)(A)(ii) also narrowly permits governmental disclosures as necessary to “fulfill official duties,” a phrase that is not defined in the BSA. Consistent with the rule being proposed by FinCEN, the OCC is proposing to construe this phrase in the context of the BSA, in light of the purpose for which SARs are filed. Accordingly, the proposed rules interpret “official duties” to mean “official duties consistent with the purposes of Title II of the BSA.”

For example, for “criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings, or in the conduct of intelligence or counterintelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against international terrorism.” When disclosure is necessary to fulfill official duties, the OCC will make a determination, through its internal processes, that a SAR may be disclosed to fulfill official duties consistent with the BSA. This standard would permit, for example, disclosures responsive to a grand jury subpoena; a request from an appropriate Federal or State law enforcement or regulatory agency; a request from an appropriate Congressional committee or subcommittee; and prosecutorial disclosures mandated by statute or the Constitution, in conjunction with the statement of a government witness to be called at trial, the impeachment of a government witness, or as material exculpatory of a criminal defendant. This proposed interpretation of section 5318(g)(2)(A)(ii) would ensure that SAR information will not be disclosed for a reason that is unrelated to the purposes.

18 Although the underlying facts, transactions, and documents upon which a SAR is based may include previously filed SARs or other information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, these materials cannot be disclosed as underlying documents.

19 On December 21, 2006, FinCEN and the Federal bank regulatory agencies announced that the format for the SAR form for depository institutions had been revised to support a new joint filing initiative to reduce the number of duplicate SARs filed for a single suspicious transaction. “Suspicious Activity Report (SAR) Revised to Support Joint Filings and Reduce Duplicate SARs.” Joint Release issued by FinCEN, the FRB, the OCC, the OTS, the FDIC, and NCUA (Dec. 21, 2006). On February 17, 2007, FinCEN and the Federal bank regulatory agencies published a joint Federal Register notice seeking comment on proposed revisions to the SAR form. See 71 FR 8640. On May 1, 2007, FinCEN announced a delay in implementation of the revised SAR form until further notice. See 72 FR 23891. Until such time as a new SAR form is available that facilitates joint filing, institutions authorized to jointly file should follow FinCEN’s guidance to use the words “joint filing” in the narrative of the SAR and ensure that both institutions maintain a copy of the SAR and any supporting documentation [See, e.g., http://www.fincen.gov/statutes_regs/guidance/html/guidance_fasp_sar_10642006.html].

20 “Interagency Guidance on Sharing Suspicious Activity Reports with Head Offices and Controlling Companies” (January 20, 2006).

21 Under FinCEN’s proposed guidance, an “affiliate” of a depository institution means any company under common control with, or controlled by, that depository institution.

of the BSA. For example, this standard would not permit disclosure of SAR information to the media.

The proposed rules also specifically provide that “official duties” shall not include the disclosure of SAR information in response to a request for use in a private legal proceeding or in response to a request for disclosure of non-public information under 12 CFR 4.33. This statement, which corresponds to a similar provision in FinCEN’s proposed rules, clearly establishes that the OCC will not disclose SAR information to a private litigant for use in a private legal proceeding, or pursuant to 12 CFR 4.33, because such a request cannot be consistent with any of the purposes enumerated in Title II of the BSA. The BSA exists, in part, to protect the public’s interest in an effective reporting system that benefits the nation by helping to ensure that the U.S. financial system will not be used for criminal activity or to support terrorism. The OCC, like FinCEN, believes that this purpose would be undermined by the disclosure of SAR information to a private litigant for use in a civil lawsuit for the reasons described earlier, including, that such disclosures will chill full and candid reporting by financial institutions, including national banks.

Finally, the proposed rules would apply to the OCC, in addition to its officers, employees, and agents. Comparable to a provision being proposed by FinCEN, the OCC is proposing to include the agency itself in the scope of coverage, because requests for SAR information are typically directed to the agency, rather than to individuals within the OCC with authority to respond to the request. In addition, agents are included in the proposed paragraph because agents of the OCC may have access to SAR information. Accordingly, this proposed interpretation would more comprehensively cover disclosures by the OCC, agents of the OCC, and protect the confidentiality of SAR information.

Section 21.11(l): Limitation on Liability

In 1992, the Annunzio-Wylie Act amended section 5318(g)(3) to clarify that the scope of the safe harbor provision includes the voluntary disclosure of possible violations of law and regulations to a government agency, and to expand the scope of the limit on civil liability to include any liability which may exist “under any contract or other legally enforceable agreement (including any arbitration agreement).” The OCC, like FinCEN, has incorporated the statutory expansion of the safe harbor by placing a cross-reference to section 5318(g)(3) in the proposed rules.

In addition, consistent with the proposed rule issued by FinCEN, this provision makes clear that the safe harbor also applies to a disclosure by a bank made jointly with another financial institution for purposes of filing a joint SAR.

Conforming Amendments to 12 CFR Part 4, Subpart C

The OCC is proposing to amend its information disclosure rule set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C. Among other things, the proposal clarifies that the OCC’s disclosure of SAR information will be governed exclusively by the standards set forth in the proposed amendments to the OCC’s SAR rule set forth in 12 CFR 21.11(k). See elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register. The effect of these proposed amendments is that the OCC: (i) Will not release SAR information to private litigants; and (ii) will only release SAR information to other government agencies, in response to a request pursuant to 12 CFR 4.37(c) or in the exercise of its discretion as described in 12 CFR 4.36, when necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with the purposes of Title II of the BSA.

IV. Request for Comments

The OCC invites comments on any aspect of these proposed amendments to the SAR rules. The OCC has timed the release of this proposal to coincide with the issuance of the proposed rules to amend the information disclosure rules set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, so that commenters can consider each proposal in commenting on the other.

V. OCC Solicitation of Comments on Use of Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Public Law 106-102, sec. 4.33. This statement, which corresponds to section 5318(g)(3) to clarify that the scope of the safe harbor provision includes the voluntary disclosure of possible violations of law and regulations to a government agency, and to expand the scope of the limit on civil liability to include any liability which may exist “under any contract or other legally enforceable agreement (including any arbitration agreement).” The OCC, like FinCEN, has incorporated the statutory expansion of the safe harbor by placing a cross-reference to section 5318(g)(3) in the proposed rules.

In addition, consistent with the proposed rule issued by FinCEN, this provision makes clear that the safe harbor also applies to a disclosure by a bank made jointly with another financial institution for purposes of filing a joint SAR.

Conforming Amendments to 12 CFR Part 4, Subpart C

The OCC is proposing to amend its information disclosure rule set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C. Among other things, the proposal clarifies that the OCC’s disclosure of SAR information will be governed exclusively by the standards set forth in the proposed amendments to the OCC’s SAR rule set forth in 12 CFR 21.11(k). See elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register. The effect of these proposed amendments is that the OCC: (i) Will not release SAR information to private litigants; and (ii) will only release SAR information to other government agencies, in response to a request pursuant to 12 CFR 4.37(c) or in the exercise of its discretion as described in 12 CFR 4.36, when necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with the purposes of Title II of the BSA.

IV. Request for Comments

The OCC invites comments on any aspect of these proposed amendments to the SAR rules. The OCC has timed the release of this proposal to coincide with the issuance of the proposed rules to amend the information disclosure rules set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, so that commenters can consider each proposal in commenting on the other.

V. OCC Solicitation of Comments on Use of Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Public Law 106-102, sec. 4.33. This statement, which corresponds to section 5318(g)(3) to clarify that the scope of the safe harbor provision includes the voluntary disclosure of possible violations of law and regulations to a government agency, and to expand the scope of the limit on civil liability to include any liability which may exist “under any contract or other legally enforceable agreement (including any arbitration agreement).” The OCC, like FinCEN, has incorporated the statutory expansion of the safe harbor by placing a cross-reference to section 5318(g)(3) in the proposed rules.

In addition, consistent with the proposed rule issued by FinCEN, this provision makes clear that the safe harbor also applies to a disclosure by a bank made jointly with another financial institution for purposes of filing a joint SAR.

Conforming Amendments to 12 CFR Part 4, Subpart C

The OCC is proposing to amend its information disclosure rule set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C. Among other things, the proposal clarifies that the OCC’s disclosure of SAR information will be governed exclusively by the standards set forth in the proposed amendments to the OCC’s SAR rule set forth in 12 CFR 21.11(k). See elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register. The effect of these proposed amendments is that the OCC: (i) Will not release SAR information to private litigants; and (ii) will only release SAR information to other government agencies, in response to a request pursuant to 12 CFR 4.37(c) or in the exercise of its discretion as described in 12 CFR 4.36, when necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with the purposes of Title II of the BSA.

IV. Request for Comments

The OCC invites comments on any aspect of these proposed amendments to the SAR rules. The OCC has timed the release of this proposal to coincide with the issuance of the proposed rules to amend the information disclosure rules set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, so that commenters can consider each proposal in commenting on the other.
pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA, the OCC hereby certifies that this proposal will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not needed.

Executive Order 12866

The OCC has determined that this proposal is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. We have concluded that the changes that would be made by this proposed rule will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more. The OCC further concludes that this proposal does not meet any of the other standards for a significant regulatory action set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Paperwork Reduction Act

We have reviewed the proposed rule in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506; 5 CFR 1320, Appendix A.1) (PRA) and have determined that it does not contain any “collections of information” as defined by the PRA.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Public Law 104–4 (2 U.S.C. 1532) (Unfunded Mandates Act), requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating any rule likely to result in a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, section 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act also requires an agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule.

The OCC has determined that this proposed rule will not result in expenditures by State, local, and tribal governments, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. Accordingly, this proposal is not subject to section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Act.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 21

Crime, Currency, National banks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, part 21 of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 21—MINIMUM SECURITY DEVICES AND PROCEDURES, REPORTS OF SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITIES; AND BANK SECRECY ACT COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for part 21 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 21.11 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(3), (c) introductory text, (k) and (l) to read as follows:

§ 21.11 Suspicious Activity Report.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) SAR means a Suspicious Activity Report.

(c) SARs required. A national bank shall file a SAR with the appropriate Federal law enforcement agencies and the Department of the Treasury on the form prescribed by the OCC and in accordance with the form’s instructions. The bank should send the completed SAR to FinCEN in the following circumstances:

* * * * *

(k) Confidentiality of SARs. A SAR, and any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, are confidential, and shall not be disclosed except as authorized in this paragraph (k).

(i) General rule. No national bank, and no director, officer, employee, or agent of a national bank, shall disclose a SAR or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR. Any national bank, and any director, officer, employee, or agent of any national bank that is subpoenaed or otherwise requested to disclose a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, shall disclose a SAR or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, within the bank’s own corporate organizational structure, for purposes consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act as determined by regulation or in guidance.

(ii) Prohibition on disclosure by the OCC. The OCC will not, and no officer, employee or agent of the OCC, shall disclose a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, except as necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act. For purposes of this section, official duties shall not include the disclosure of a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, in response to a request for use in a private legal proceeding or in response to a request for disclosure of non-public information under 12 CFR 4.33.

(i) Limitation on liability. A national bank and any director, officer, employee or agent of a national bank that makes a voluntary disclosure of any possible violation of law or regulation to a government agency or makes a disclosure pursuant to this section or any other authority, including a disclosure made jointly with another financial institution, shall be protected from liability for any such disclosure, or for failure to provide notice of such disclosure to any person identified in the disclosure, or both, to the full extent provided by 31 U.S.C. 5318(g)(3).

Dated: January 22, 2009.

John C. Dugan,
Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc. E9–4703 Filed 3–6–09; 8:45 am]
Standards Governing the Release of a Suspicious Activity Report

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) is proposing to revise its regulations governing the release of non-public OCC information. The primary change being proposed would clarify that the OCC’s decision to release a suspicious activity report (SAR) will be governed by the standards set forth in proposed amendments to the OCC’s SAR regulation that are part of a separate, but simultaneous, rulemaking.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 8, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Because paper mail in the Washington, DC area and received by the OCC is subject to delay, commenters are encouraged to submit comments by the Federal eRulemaking Portal or e-mail, if possible. Please use the title “SAR Release Standards” to facilitate the organization and distribution of the comments. You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal— “Regulations.gov”: Go to http://www.regulations.gov, under the “More Search Options” tab click next to the “Advanced Document Search” option where indicated, select “Comptroller of the Currency” from the agency drop-down menu, then click “Submit.” In the “Docket ID” column, select “OCC–2009–0003” to view public comments for this rulemaking action.

Viewing Comments Electronically: Go to http://www.regulations.gov, under the “More Search Options” tab click next to the “Advanced Document Search” option where indicated, select “Comptroller of the Currency” from the agency drop-down menu, then click “Submit.” In the “Docket ID” column, select “OCC–2009–0003” to view public comments for this rulemaking action.

Viewing Comments Personally: You may personally inspect and photocopy comments at the OCC, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. For security reasons, the OCC requires that visitors make an appointment to inspect comments. You may do so by calling (202) 874–4700. Upon arrival, visitors will be required to present valid government-issued photo identification and submit to security screening in order to inspect and photocopy comments.

Docket: You may also view or request available background documents and project summaries using the methods described above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Vivenzio, Senior Counsel for BSA/AML, (202) 874–5200; Ellen Warwick, Assistant Director, Litigation, (202) 874–5280; or Patrick Tierney, Senior Attorney, Legislative and Regulatory Activities, (202) 874–5090; Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The OCC is proposing to amend its regulations set forth in 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, governing the release of non-public OCC information. First, the proposed amendments conform subpart C to amendments to the OCC’s SAR confidentiality rule, 12 CFR 21.11(k), that are being proposed as part of a separate, but simultaneous, rulemaking that the OCC is conducting together with the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) and is published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register. Under the standards that the OCC is proposing to incorporate into part 4, the OCC will only release a SAR, or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR (referred to in this preamble as “SAR information”) when “necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act” (BSA). The proposed standards also state that “official duties” does not include the disclosure of SAR information for use in a private legal proceeding or a request under § 4.33. Thus, one effect of these proposed amendments is that the OCC will not release SAR information in response to a request from a private litigant arising out of a private legal proceeding.

In addition to the clarification of the standards governing the release of SAR information, the proposed amendments to subpart C also clarify that the OCC will deny a request for non-public information made under 12 CFR 4.33, if the release is prohibited by law. Finally, the amendments include a technical correction to § 4.37 that is described in section III of this SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

II. Background

As described in greater detail below, this proposal amends part 4 to make subpart C consistent with the proposed amendments to the OCC’s SAR regulation that implement section 351 of the USA PATRIOT Act, to ensure that the appropriate standard is applied to the OCC’s disclosure of SAR information. 12 CFR part 4, subpart C, contains the OCC’s standards and procedures for the release of “non-public OCC information,” and sets forth the restrictions on the dissemination of such information. Generally, “non-public OCC information” is confidential and privileged information that is the property of the OCC, and that the OCC is not required to release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.) or that the OCC has not yet published or made available pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 1818(u), the statute requiring publication of certain enforcement orders. Examples in subpart C of “non-public OCC information” currently include “a SAR filed by the OCC, a national bank, or a Federal branch or agency of a foreign bank licensed or
chartered by the OCC under 12 CFR 21.11.”

Subpart C generally describes procedures for requesting non-public OCC information from the OCC, such as where to submit a request, the form of the request, information that must be included in any request involving an adversarial matter, and various bases for the OCC’s denial of such a request. Subpart C also authorizes the OCC to make non-public OCC information available to a supervised entity and to other persons, at the sole discretion of the Comptroller, without a request for records or testimony, and sets forth the OCC’s policy regarding the release of non-public OCC information to other government agencies in response to a request. Subpart C also describes the conditions and limitations that the OCC may place on information it discloses under subpart C.

Although SARs fall within the definition of “non-public OCC information,” the release of a SAR is governed by standards set forth in the BSA. The BSA and its implementing regulations require a financial institution to file a SAR when it detects a known or suspected violation of Federal law or a suspicious activity related to money laundering, terrorist financing, or other criminal activity. SARs generally are unsubstantiated reports of possible violations of law or of suspicious activities that are used for law enforcement or regulatory purposes. The BSA provides that a financial institution, and its officers, directors, employees, and agents are prohibited from notifying any person involved in a suspicious transaction that the transaction was reported. More importantly, in 2001, section 351 of the USA PATRIOT Act added a new provision to the BSA prohibiting officers or employees of the Federal government or any State, local, tribal, or territorial government within the United States from disclosing to any person involved in a suspicious transaction that the transaction was reported, other than as necessary to fulfill the official duties of such officer or employee. Accordingly, it is this provision that now governs the ability of the OCC to disclose SAR information to any person.

In 1999, the OCC amended the examples in its definition of “non-public OCC information” to explicitly include a SAR filed by the OCC or a supervised entity, making SARs subject to the procedures for the release of non-public OCC information set forth in part 4. The preamble to the final rule explained “while the OCC has always taken the position that SARs are non-public information, the OCC was proposing this change to enhance the ability of banks and the OCC to protect SARs from being disclosed when SARs are sought by private litigants.” Later, the preamble explains that SARs were being added to the list of examples of non-public OCC information “to protect the confidentiality of SARs further, particularly in litigation, not to make them more easily disclosable.”

The OCC is revisiting the treatment of SAR information in subpart C in light of the 2001 amendments to the BSA, added by section 351 of the USA PATRIOT Act, that specifically address governmental disclosures of SARs. Under the proposed amendments to subpart C, the OCC will decide whether to release SAR information based upon the standard in the OCC’s proposed amendments to its SAR rules, 12 CFR 21.11(k), implementing section 351, rather than upon any of the factors set out in subpart C. The standard in the proposed amendments to the OCC’s SAR rules provides that the OCC will not, and an officer, employee or agent of the OCC, shall not, disclose SAR information except as necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with Title II of the BSA. In addition, the standard provides that “official duties” shall not include the disclosure of SAR information in response to a request for use in a private legal proceeding or in response to a request for disclosure of non-public information under 12 CFR 4.33.

The proposed SAR rules interpret “official duties” as “official duties consistent with the purposes of Title II of the BSA,” meaning, official disclosures necessary to accomplish a governmental purpose entrusted to the agency, the officer, or employee, consistent with the purposes of Title II of the BSA, namely, for “criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings, or in the conduct of intelligence or counterintelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against international terrorism.” This standard would permit, for example, disclosures responsive to a grand jury subpoena; a request from an appropriate Federal or State law enforcement or regulatory agency; a request from an appropriate Congressional committee or subcommittee; and prosecutorial disclosures mandated by statute or the Constitution in connection with the statement of a government witness to be called at trial, the impeachment of a government witness, or as material exculpatory of a criminal defendant.

III. Section-by-Section Description of the Proposal

Section 4.31(b)(4) Purpose and Scope

Subpart C currently includes several standards for the release of non-public OCC information. A person seeking non-public OCC information generally must submit a request in writing to the OCC that addresses the factors set forth in § 4.33. Section 4.35 describes how the OCC will make its determination to release the information, and contains an illustrative list of possible bases for denial of a request. Section 4.36(a) provides that the OCC may release information to a supervised entity or any person, even without a request, at the discretion of the Comptroller when necessary or appropriate. In addition, the scope section of subpart C makes clear that § 4.37(c) applies to requests for non-public OCC information from Federal and foreign governments and state agencies with authority to investigate violations of criminal law, and state bank regulatory agencies.

Section 4.37(c) states that, when not prohibited by law, the Comptroller may make non-public OCC information available to these governmental entities for their use, when necessary in the performance of their official duties. This proposal adds a new paragraph (b)(4) to 12 CFR 4.31, the scope section of subpart C, which states that the OCC’s decision to disclose records or testimony involving SAR information for purposes of 12 CFR 4.35(a)(1), 4.36(a), and 4.37(c), is governed solely by the standard in 12 CFR 21.11(k). Accordingly, the Comptroller’s
discretion to disclose SAR information to any person or entity without a request under § 4.36, and the OCC’s determination to disclose SAR information in response to a request for use in private litigation under § 4.33 or to another government agency under § 4.37, will be circumscribed by the standard in the proposed amendments to 12 CFR 21.11(k) prohibiting the disclosure of SAR information “except as necessary to fulfill official duties consistent with Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act.” In accordance with the OCC’s longstanding commitment to protect the confidentiality of SARs, this proposed standard also provides that “official duties” does not include the disclosure of SAR information in response to a request for use in a private legal proceeding or in response to a request for disclosure of non-public information under 12 CFR 4.33.

Section 4.32(b) Definition of Non-Public OCC Information

This proposal amends the definition of “non-public OCC information” in § 4.32(b) to remove the reference to “a SAR filed by the OCC, a national bank, or a Federal branch or agency of a foreign bank licensed or chartered by the OCC under 12 CFR 21.11” from the illustrative list of examples that follow the definition of “non-public OCC information.” SAR information would still be covered by the definition of “non-public OCC information.” However, the OCC is proposing to remove the reference to SARs from the illustrative list because highlighting SAR information as an example of non-public OCC information would be misleading in light of the amendments to § 4.31 described in the previous section. As described earlier, under the amendments to subpart C, SAR information would become a unique subset of non-public OCC information subject to release solely in accordance with the standards set forth in 12 CFR 21.11(k).

Notwithstanding the OCC’s deletion of the specific reference to SARs as an example of “non-public OCC information,” SAR information would continue to be otherwise subject to the provisions of subpart C that are not superseded by the standards proposed in part 21. For example, § 4.37(d), which generally provides that the possession by a person of non-public OCC information does not constitute a waiver by the OCC of its right to control, or impose limitations on, the use and dissemination of the information, would continue to apply to SAR information.

Section 4.35(a)(2) Consideration of Requests

Section 4.35 generally describes how the OCC makes its determination to release or to withhold non-public OCC information in response to requests received under § 4.33. Section 4.35(a)(2) lists five examples of reasons for which the OCC will deny the release of non-public OCC information.

The OCC is proposing to add “when prohibited by law” as a sixth example of a reason for denial of requests made under § 4.33. This addition clarifies that the OCC may deny a request under § 4.33 when prohibited by law, for example, when the standard in § 21.11(k) is applicable to a request for SAR information.

Section 4.37(c) Disclosures to Government Agencies

The proposal also makes a technical correction to § 4.37(c). Section 4.37(c) describes the basis for disclosures of non-public OCC information to government agencies. The last sentence in § 4.37(c) also states that any information that is made available under this section is OCC property, and the OCC may condition its use on appropriate confidentiality protections, “including the mechanisms identified in § 4.37.” However, the various mechanisms that provide confidentiality protections are identified in § 4.38 of subpart C, rather than in § 4.37. Therefore, the OCC is proposing to replace the reference to “§ 4.37” with a reference to “§ 4.38.”

IV. Request for Comments

The OCC welcomes comments on any aspect of these proposed amendments to the SAR rules.

The OCC has timed the release of this proposal to coincide with the issuance of the proposed rules to amend its SAR confidentiality rules set forth in 12 CFR part 21.11(k), so that commenters can consider each proposal in commenting on the other.

V. OCC Solicitation of Comments on Use of Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Public Law 106–102, sec. 722, 113 Stat. 1338, 1471 (Nov. 12, 1999), requires the OCC to use plain language in all proposed and final rules published after January 1, 2000. Therefore, the OCC specifically invites your comments on how to make this proposal easier to understand. For example:

• Have we organized the material to suit your needs? If not, how could this material be better organized?

• Are the requirements in the proposal clearly stated? If not, how could the requirements be more clearly stated?

• Does the proposal contain language or jargon that is not clear? If so, which language requires clarification?

• Would a different format make the regulations easier to understand? If so, what changes to the format would make them easier to understand?

• What else could we do to make the regulations easier to understand?

VI. OCC Community Bank Comment Request

The OCC invites your comments on the impact of this proposal on community banks. The OCC recognizes that community banks operate with more limited resources than larger institutions and may present a different risk profile. Thus, the OCC specifically requests comment on the impact of the proposal on community banks’ current resources and available personnel with the requisite expertise, and whether the goals of the proposal could be achieved, for community banks, through an alternative approach.

VII. OCC Regulatory Analysis

Regulatory Flexibility Act

Under section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the regulatory flexibility analysis otherwise required under section 604 of the RFA is not required if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and publishes its certification and a short, explanatory statement in the Federal Register along with its rule.

The OCC has determined that the proposed amendments will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed changes in internal standards, which were prompted by a statutory change, will simply affect the nature of the OCC’s internal deliberations regarding the agency’s ability to disclose a SAR. Therefore, pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA, the OCC hereby certifies that this proposal will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not needed.

Executive Order 12866

The OCC has determined that this proposal is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. The OCC has concluded that the proposed change in the OCC’s internal
standards for determining whether a SAR should be disclosed will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more. The OCC further concludes that this proposal does not meet any of the other standards for a significant regulatory action set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Paperwork Reduction Act

We have reviewed the proposed amendments in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506; 5 CFR 1320, Appendix A.1) (PRA) and have determined that they do not contain any “collections of information” as defined by the PRA.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Public Law 104–4 (2 U.S.C. 1532) (Unfunded Mandates Act), requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating any rule likely to result in a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100 million or more (adjusted for inflation) in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, section 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act also requires an agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule.

The OCC has determined that these proposed amendments, which change the standards the OCC will apply when determining whether to release a SAR, will not result in expenditures by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted for inflation) in any one year. Accordingly, this proposal is not subject to section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Act.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 4

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Individuals with disabilities, Minority businesses, Organization and functions (Government agencies), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Women.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, part 4, subpart C, of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

1. Revise the authority citation for part 4 to read as follows:


2. Add § 4.31(b)(4) to read as follows:

   § 4.31 Purpose and scope.

   (b) * * * *

         (4) For purposes of §§ 4.35(a)(1), 4.36(a) and 4.37(c), the OCC's decision to disclose records or testimony involving a Suspicious Activity Report (SAR) filed pursuant to the regulations implementing 12 U.S.C. 5318(g), or any information that would reveal the existence of a SAR, is governed solely by 12 CFR 21.11(k).

   * * * * *

   § 4.32 [Amended]

3. Amend § 4.32(b) by:

   a. Removing paragraph (b)(1)(vii).

   b. Adding the word “and” at the end of paragraph (b)(1)(v); and

   c. Removing, at the end of paragraph (b)(1)(vi), “; and” and adding a period in its place;

4. Amend § 4.35(a)(2) by:

   a. Removing the word “or” at the end of paragraph (a)(2)(iv);

   b. Removing, in paragraph (a)(2)(v), the period and by adding in lieu thereof “; or”; and

   c. Adding a new paragraph (a)(2)(vi) to read as follows:

   § 4.35 Consideration of requests.

   (a) * * *

   (2) * * *

   (vi) When prohibited by law.

   * * * * *

   § 4.37 [Amended]

5. In paragraph § 4.37(c), remove the reference to “§ 4.37” in the last sentence and add in lieu thereof “§ 4.38.”